

Geometric Bessel models for GSp_4 and multiplicity one

Sergey Lysenko

ABSTRACT In this paper, which is a sequel to [5], we study Bessel models of representations of GSp_4 over a local non archimedean field in the framework of the geometric Langlands program. The Bessel module over the nonramified Hecke algebra of GSp_4 admits a geometric counterpart, the Bessel category of perverse sheaves on some ind-algebraic stack. We use it to prove a geometric version of multiplicity one for Bessel models. It implies a geometric Casselman-Shalika type formula for these models. The strategy of the proof is the same as in a paper of Frenkel, Gaitsgory and Vilonen [3]. We also propose a geometric framework unifying Whittaker, Waldspurger and Bessel models.

0. INTRODUCTION

0.1 In this paper, which is a sequel to [5], we study Bessel models of representations of GSp_4 in the framework of the geometric Langlands program. These models introduced by Novodvorsky and Piatetski-Shapiro, satisfy the following multiplicity one property ([7]).

Set $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathcal{O} = k[[t]] \subset F = k((t))$. Let $\tilde{F}$ be an étale F -algebra with $\dim_F(\tilde{F}) = 2$ such that k is algebraically closed in $\tilde{F}$. Write $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ for the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\tilde{F}$. We have two cases:

- $\tilde{F} \simeq k((t^{\frac{1}{2}}))$ (nonsplit case)
- $\tilde{F} \simeq F \oplus F$ (split case)

Write L for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ viewed as $\mathcal{O}$ -module, it is equipped with a quadratic form $s : \mathrm{Sym}^2 L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ given by the determinant. Write $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}$ for the completed module of relative differentials of $\mathcal{O}$ over k .

Set $\mathcal{M} = L \oplus (L^* \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1})$. This $\mathcal{O}$ -module is equipped with a symplectic form $\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L \otimes L^* \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}$. Set $G = \mathrm{GSp}(\mathcal{M})$, this is a group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}$. Write $P \subset G$ for the Siegel parabolic subgroup preserving the lagrangian submodule L . Its unipotent radical U has a distinguished character

$$\mathrm{ev} : U \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 L \xrightarrow{s} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}$$

(here we view $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}$ as a commutative group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}$). Set

$$\tilde{R} = \{p \in P \mid \mathrm{ev}(pup^{-1}) = \mathrm{ev}(u) \text{ for } u \in U\}$$

View $\mathrm{GL}(L)$ as a group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^*$ as its closed subgroup. Write α for the composition $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^* \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(L) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathcal{O}^*$. Fix a section $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^* \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}$ given by $g \mapsto (g, \alpha(g)(g^*)^{-1})$. Then

$R = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^*U \subset \tilde{R}$ is a closed subgroup, and the map $R \xrightarrow{\xi} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^*$ sending tu to $(ev(u), t)$ is a homomorphism of group schemes over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$.

Let ℓ be a prime invertible in k . Fix a character $\chi : \tilde{F}^*/\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ and a nontrivial additive character $\psi : k \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$. Write τ for the composition

$$R(F) \xrightarrow{\xi} \Omega_F \times \tilde{F}^* \xrightarrow{\text{Res} \times \text{pr}} k \times \tilde{F}^*/\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^* \xrightarrow{\psi \times \chi} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$$

The Bessel module is the vector space

$$\text{BM}_\tau = \{f : G(F)/G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \mid f(rg) = \tau(r)f(g) \text{ for } r \in R(F), \\ f \text{ is of compact support modulo } R(F)\}$$

Let $\chi_c : F^*/\mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ denote the restriction of χ . The Hecke algebra

$$\text{H}_{\chi_c} = \{h : G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(F)/G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \mid h(zg) = \chi_c(z)h(g) \text{ for } z \in F^*, \\ h \text{ is of compact support modulo } F^*\}$$

acts on BM_τ by convolutions. Then BM_τ is a *free module of rank one* over H_{χ_c} . In this paper we prove a geometric version of this result.

Remind that the affine grassmanian $\text{Gr}_G = G(F)/G(\mathcal{O})$ can be viewed as an ind-scheme over k . According to ‘fonctions-faisceaux’ philosophy, the space BM_τ should have a geometric counterpart. A natural candidate for that would be the category of ℓ -adic perverse sheaves on Gr_G that change under the action of $R(F)$ by τ . However, the $R(F)$ -orbits on Gr_G are infinite-dimensional, and this naive definition does not make sense.

The same difficulty appears when one tries to define Whittaker categories for any reductive group. In [3] Frenkel, Gaitsgory and Vilonen have overcome this by replacing the corresponding local statement by its globalization, which admits a geometric counterpart leading to a definition of Whittaker categories with expected properties. We follow the strategy of *loc.cit.* replacing the above local statement by a global one, which we further geometrize.

0.2 Fix a smooth projective absolutely irreducible curve X over k . Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a two-sheeted covering ramified at some effective divisor D_π of X (we assume $\tilde{X}$ smooth over k). The vector bundle $L = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is equipped with a quadratic form $s : \text{Sym}^2 L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

Write Ω for the canonical line bundle on X . Set $\mathcal{M} = L \oplus (L^* \otimes \Omega^{-1})$, it is equipped with a symplectic form

$$\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L \otimes L^* \otimes \Omega^{-1} \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}$$

Let G be the group scheme (over X) of automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ preserving this symplectic form up to a multiple. Let $P \subset G$ denote the Siegel parabolic subgroup preserving L , $U \subset P$ its unipotent radical. Then U is equipped with a homomorphism of group schemes over X

$$\text{ev} : U \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega \otimes \text{Sym}^2 L \xrightarrow{s} \Omega$$

Let T be the functor sending a X -scheme S to the group $H^0(\tilde{X} \times_X S, \mathcal{O}^*)$. Then T is a group scheme over X , a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(L)$. Write α for the composition $T \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(L) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbb{G}_m$. Set

$$\tilde{R} = \{p \in P \mid \mathrm{ev}(pup^{-1}) = \mathrm{ev}(u) \text{ for all } u \in U\}$$

Fix a section $T \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}$ given by $g \mapsto (g, \alpha(g)(g^*)^{-1})$. Then $R = TU \subset \tilde{R}$ is a closed subgroup, and the map $R \xrightarrow{\xi} \Omega \times T$ sending tu to $(\mathrm{ev}(u), t)$ is a homomorphism of group schemes over X .

Let $F = k(X)$, $\mathbb{A}$ be the adele ring of F and $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{A}$ the entire adeles. Write F_x for the completion of F at $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{O}_x \subset F_x$ for its ring of integers. Fix a nonramified character $\chi : T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}) / T(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$. Let τ be the composition

$$R(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\xi} \Omega(\mathbb{A}) \times T(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{r \times \chi} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*,$$

where $r : \Omega(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ is given by

$$r(\omega_x) = \psi\left(\sum_{x \in X} \mathrm{tr}_{k(x)/k} \mathrm{Res} \omega_x\right)$$

Fix $x \in X(k)$. Let Y denote the restricted product $G(F_x)/G(\mathcal{O}_x) \times \prod'_{y \neq x} R(F_y)/R(\mathcal{O}_y)$. Let $\mathcal{Y}(k)$ be the quotient of Y by the diagonal action of $R(F)$. Set

$$\mathrm{BM}_{X,\tau} = \{f : Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \mid f(rg) = \tau(r)f(g) \text{ for } r \in R(\mathbb{A}),$$

$f \text{ is of compact support modulo } R(\mathbb{A})\}$

View elements of $\mathrm{BM}_{X,\tau}$ as functions on $\mathcal{Y}(k)$. Let $\chi_c : F_x^*/\mathcal{O}_x^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ be the restriction of χ . As in 0.1, the Hecke algebra H_{χ_c} of the pair $(G(F_x), G(\mathcal{O}_x))$ acts on $\mathrm{BM}_{X,\tau}$ by convolutions. The restriction under

$$G(F_x)/G(\mathcal{O}_x) \hookrightarrow Y$$

yields an isomorphism of H_{χ_c} -modules $\mathrm{BM}_{X,\tau} \rightarrow \mathrm{BM}_\tau$.

We introduce an ind-algebraic stack $_{x,\infty} \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ whose set of k -points contains $\mathcal{Y}(k)$. We define the Bessel category $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x,\infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}}$, a category of perverse sheaves on $_{x,\infty} \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ with some equivariance property. This is a geometric version of $\mathrm{BM}_{X,\tau}$.

Let $\mathrm{Sph}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ denote the category of $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on the affine grassmanian $G(F_x)/G(\mathcal{O}_x)$. By [6], this is a tensor category equivalent to the category of representations of the Langlands dual group $\check{G} \simeq \mathrm{GSp}_4$. The category $\mathrm{Sph}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ acts on the derived category $\mathrm{D}_{(x,\infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}}$ by Hecke functors.

Our main result is Theorem 1 describing the action of $\mathrm{Sph}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ on the irreducible objects of $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x,\infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}}$. It implies the above multiplicity one. It also implies that the action of $\mathrm{Sph}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ on $\mathrm{D}_{(x,\infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}}$ preserves $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x,\infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}}$. The same phenomenon takes place for Whittaker and Waldspurger models.

To the difference with the case of Whittaker categories, the Bessel category $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x,\infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}}$ is not semi-simple (cf. 2.12).

The explicit Casselman-Shalika formula for the Bessel models has been established in ([2], Corollary 1.8 and 1.9), where it is presented in the base of BM_τ consisting of functions supported at a single $R(F)$ -orbit on Gr_G . Our Theorem 1 yields a geometric version of this formula. At the level of functions it yields another base $\{B^\lambda\}$ of BM_τ (cf. 2.14). In this new base the Casselman-Shalika formula writes in an essentially uniform way for Bessel, Waldspurger and Whittaker models.

In Sect. 1 we propose a general framework that gives a uniform way to define Whittaker, Waldspurger and Bessel categories (the case of Waldspurger models was studied in [5]).

1. COMPACTIFICATIONS AND EQUIVARIANT CATEGORIES

1.1 NOTATION We keep the following notation from [5]. Let k denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. All the schemes (or stacks) we consider are defined over k . Let X be a smooth projective connected curve. Fix a prime $\ell \neq p$. For a scheme (or stack) S write $\text{D}(S)$ for the bounded derived category of ℓ -adic étale sheaves on S , and $\text{P}(S) \subset \text{D}(S)$ for the category of perverse sheaves.

Write Ω for the canonical line bundle on X . For a group scheme G on X write $\mathcal{F}_G^0$ for the trivial G -torsor on X .

1.2.1 Let G' be a connected reductive group over k . Given a G' -torsor $\mathfrak{F}_{G'}$ on X let G be the group scheme (over X) of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{F}_{G'}$. Write Bun_G for the stack of G -bundles on X . Note that $\mathfrak{F}_{G'}$ can be viewed as a G -torsor as well as a G' -torsor on X . We identify Bun_G and $\text{Bun}_{G'}$ via the isomorphism that sends a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G$ to the G' -torsor $\mathcal{F}_{G'} = \mathfrak{F}_{G'} \times^G \mathcal{F}_G$.

Let $R \subset G$ be a closed group subscheme over X . Say that G/R is *strongly quasi-affine over X* if for the projection $\text{pr} : G/R \rightarrow X$ the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra $\text{pr}_* \mathcal{O}_{G/R}$ is finitely generated (locally in Zarisky topology), and the natural map $G/R \rightarrow \overline{G/R}$ is an open immersion. Here $\overline{G/R} = \text{Spec}(\text{pr}_* \mathcal{O}_{G/R})$.

Let V be a vector bundle on X on which G acts, that is, we are given a homomorphism of group schemes $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ on X . Assume that R is obtained through the following procedure. There is a section $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} V$ such that $V/\mathcal{O}_X$ is locally free and $R = \{g \in G \mid gs = s\}$. Let Z be the closure of Gs is the total space of V , so $G/R \subset Z$. Let Z' be the complement of Gs in Z . The following is a consequence of ([8], Theorem 2).

Lemma 1. *Assume that any fibre of the projection $\text{pr} : Z' \rightarrow X$ is of codimension ≥ 2 in the corresponding fibre of $\text{pr} : Z \rightarrow X$. Then G/R is strongly quasi-affine over X , and Z is the affine closure $\overline{G/R}$ of G/R . $\square$*

Assume that R satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 (this holds in our examples below).

Definition 1. Let $\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ be the following stack. For a scheme S an S -point of $\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ is a pair $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a $(S \times X) \times_X G$ -torsor on $S \times X$, and β is a G -equivariant map $\beta : \mathcal{F}_G \rightarrow S \times \overline{G/R}$ over $S \times X$ with the following property. For any geometric point $s \in S$ there is a non-empty open subset $U^s \subset s \times X$ such that

$$\beta : \mathcal{F}_G|_{U^s} \rightarrow (s \times \overline{G/R})|_{U^s}$$

factors through $(s \times G/R) |_{U^s} \subset (s \times \overline{G/R}) |_{U^s}$.

An S -point of $\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ can also be seen as a pair $(\mathcal{F}_G, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a $(S \times X) \times_X G$ -torsor on $S \times X$, and $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{S \times X} \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ is a section with the following property. First, $\alpha(1)$ lies in $\overline{G/R} \times^G \mathcal{F}_G$. Secondly, for any geometric point $s \in S$ there is a non-empty open subset $U^s \subset s \times X$ such that $\alpha(1) |_{U^s}$ lies in $(G/R \times^G \mathcal{F}_G) |_{U^s}$. Here $V_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ is the vector bundle $(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S \times X}) \times^G \mathcal{F}_G$ on $S \times X$.

Let Bun_R denote the stack of R -bundles on X .

Lemma 2. *The stack $\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ is algebraic locally of finite type, and $\text{Bun}_R \subset \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ is an open substack.*

Proof Consider the stack $\mathcal{X}$ classifying pairs $(\mathcal{F}_G, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a G -torsor on X , and $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ is a section. It is well-known that this stack is algebraic locally of finite type. The condition that $\alpha(1)$ lies in $\overline{G/R} \times^G \mathcal{F}_G$ defines a closed substack $\mathcal{X}' \subset \mathcal{X}$. The condition that $\alpha(1)$ factors through $G/R \times^G \mathcal{F}_G$ at the generic point of X is open in $\mathcal{X}'$. Finally, the condition that $\alpha(1)$ lies in $G/R \times^G \mathcal{F}_G$ everywhere over X is also open. $\square$

1.2.2 Fix a closed point $x \in X$. Write $\mathcal{O}_x$ for the completed local ring of $\mathcal{O}_X$ at x , and F_x for its fractions field.

Let $_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ be the following stack. Its S -point is a pair $(\mathcal{F}_G, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a $(S \times X) \times_X G$ -torsor on $S \times X$, and

$$\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{S \times X} \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{F}_G}(\infty x) \quad (1)$$

is a section with the following property. First, $\alpha(1) |_{S \times (X-x)}$ lies in $\overline{G/R} \times^G \mathcal{F}_G |_{S \times (X-x)}$. Secondly, for any geometric point $s \in S$ there is a non-empty open subset $U^s \subset s \times (X-x)$ such that $\alpha(1) |_{U^s}$ lies in $(G/R \times^G \mathcal{F}_G) |_{U^s}$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}_i \subset _{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ be the closed substack given by the condition that (1) factors through $V_{\mathcal{F}_G}(ix) \subset V_{\mathcal{F}_G}(\infty x)$. In particular, $\mathcal{Y}_0 = \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$. As in Lemma 2, one shows that $\mathcal{Y}_i$ is algebraic locally of finite type. Since $_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ is the direct limit of $\mathcal{Y}_i$, the stack $_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ is ind-algebraic.

Remind that if a stack $\mathcal{Y}$ admits a presentation as a direct limit of algebraic stacks locally of finite type $\mathcal{Y}_i$, then we have the derived category $D(\mathcal{Y})$, which is an inductive 2-limit of $D(\mathcal{Y}_i)$. In particular, any $K \in D(\mathcal{Y})$ is the extension by zero from some closed algebraic substack of $\mathcal{Y}$. Similarly for the category $P(\mathcal{Y})$ of perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{Y}$ (cf. [4], A.1-A.2 and [1], 0.4.4 for details).

For a scheme S , one can also view an S -point of $_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ as a pair $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a $(S \times X) \times_X G$ -torsor on $S \times X$, and β is a G -equivariant map $\beta : \mathcal{F}_G |_{S \times (X-x)} \rightarrow S \times (\overline{G/R} |_{X-x})$ with the following property. For any geometric point $s \in S$ there is a non-empty open subset $U^s \subset s \times (X-x)$ such that

$$\beta : \mathcal{F}_G |_{U^s} \rightarrow (s \times \overline{G/R}) |_{U^s}$$

factors through $(s \times G/R) |_{U^s} \subset (s \times \overline{G/R}) |_{U^s}$.

Let H be an abelian group scheme over X , and $R \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of group schemes over X . Assume that the stack Bun_H of H -bundles on X is algebraic.

Fix a rank one local system $\mathcal{L}$ on Bun_H trivialized at the trivial H -torsor $\mathcal{F}_H^0$. Assume that for the tensor product map $m : \text{Bun}_H \times \text{Bun}_H \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$ there exists an isomorphism $m^* \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$ whose restriction to the k -point $(\mathcal{F}_H^0, \mathcal{F}_H^0)$ is the identity.

1.2.3 We would like to define a category $\text{P}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R)$ of $\mathcal{L}$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on $x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$, and similarly for $\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$.

Let ${}_X \mathcal{Y} \subset (X - x) \times_{x, \infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ be the open substack classifying collections $y \in X - x$, $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta) \in {}_{x, \infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ such that the map $\beta : \mathcal{F}_G \rightarrow \overline{G/R}$ factors through $G/R \subset \overline{G/R}$ in a neighbourhood of y .

Set $D_y = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_y$. By definition, for a point of ${}_X \mathcal{Y}$ the G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G|_{D_y}$ is equipped with a reduction to a R -torsor that we denote $\mathcal{F}_R$.

Let ${}_X \mathcal{X}$ be the stack classifying: $(y, \mathcal{F}_G, \beta) \in {}_X \mathcal{Y}$, $(y, \mathcal{F}'_G, \beta') \in {}_X \mathcal{Y}$ and

$$\tau : \mathcal{F}_G|_{X-y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_G|_{X-y} \quad (2)$$

such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_G|_{X-y} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \overline{G/R}|_{X-y} \\ \downarrow \tau & \nearrow \beta' & \\ \mathcal{F}'_G|_{X-y} & & \end{array}$$

Let pr (resp., act) denote the projection ${}_X \mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_X \mathcal{Y}$ sending the above collection to $(y, \mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$ (resp., to $(y, \mathcal{F}'_G, \beta')$). They provide ${}_X \mathcal{X}$ with a structure of a groupoid over ${}_X \mathcal{Y}$.

Set $D_y^* = \text{Spec } F_y$. Let ${}_X \mathcal{G}_{rR}$ denote the stack classifying $(y \in X - x, \mathcal{F}_R, \mathcal{F}'_R, \tau)$, where $\mathcal{F}_R$ and $\mathcal{F}'_R$ are R -torsors on D_y and

$$\tau : \mathcal{F}_R|_{D_y^*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_R|_{D_y^*}$$

is an isomorphism.

We have a map ${}_X \mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_X \mathcal{G}_{rR}$ sending the above collection to $(y, \mathcal{F}_R, \mathcal{F}'_R, \tau)$, where $\mathcal{F}_R$ and $\mathcal{F}'_R$ are R -torsors on D_y obtained from $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$ and $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta')$ and τ is the restriction of (2).

Let ${}_X \text{Gr}_H$ denote the affine grassmanian of H over $X - x$, namely the ind-scheme classifying $y \in X - x$ and an H -torsor on D_y trivialized over D_y^* . We have a map ${}_X \mathcal{G}_{rR} \rightarrow {}_X \text{Gr}_H$ sending $(y, \mathcal{F}_R, \mathcal{F}'_R, \tau)$ to $(y, \mathcal{F}_H, \tau)$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_H = \text{Isom}(\mathcal{F}_R \times_R H, \mathcal{F}'_R \times_R H),$$

and $\tau : \mathcal{F}_H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_H^0|_{D_y^*}$ is the induced trivialization.

We have a map ${}_X \text{Gr}_H \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$ sending $(y, \mathcal{F}_H, \tau)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_H$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_H$ is the gluing of $\mathcal{F}_H^0|_{X-y}$ and $\mathcal{F}_H|_{D_y}$ via the isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{F}_H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_H^0|_{D_y^*}$.

Define the evaluation map $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{X}} : {}_X \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$ as the composition

$${}_X \mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_X \mathcal{G}_{rR} \rightarrow {}_X \text{Gr}_H \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$$

We would like $\mathrm{P}^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \infty \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R)$ to be the category of perverse sheaves K on $x, \infty \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$ equipped with an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{act}^* \tilde{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}^* \tilde{K} \otimes \mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{X}}^* \mathcal{L}$$

satisfying the usual associativity condition, and such that its restriction to the unit section of ${}_X \mathcal{X}$ is the identity. Here $\tilde{K}$ is the restriction of K under ${}_X \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow x, \infty \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$. However, this naive definition does not apply directly, because $\mathrm{pr}, \mathrm{act} : {}_X \mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_X \mathcal{Y}$ are not smooth in general. (One more source of difficulties is that the affine grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}_{R,y}$ may be highly non-reduced, this happens for example for R a torus).

We remedy the difficulty under an additional assumption satisfied in our examples. Suppose that R fits into an exact sequence of group schemes $1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow R \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$ over X , where U is a unipotent group scheme, and T is as follows. There is an integer $b \geq 0$ and a (ramified) Galois covering $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, where $\tilde{X}$ is a smooth projective curve, such that for a X -scheme S we have

$$T(S) = \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{X} \times_X S, \mathbb{G}_m^b)$$

In this case Bun_T is nothing but the stack of $\mathbb{G}_m^b$ -torsors on $\tilde{X}$. For a divisor D on $\tilde{X}$ with values in the coweight lattice of $\mathbb{G}_m^b$, and for a T -torsor $\mathcal{F}_T$ on X , we denote by $\mathcal{F}_T(D)$ the corresponding twisted T -torsor on X .

The stack ${}_X \mathcal{X}$ can be seen as the one classifying: $(y, \mathcal{F}_G, \beta) \in {}_X \mathcal{Y}$, an R -torsor $\mathcal{F}'_R$ on D_y , and an isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{F}_R|_{D_y^*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_R|_{D_y^*}$, where $\mathcal{F}_R$ is the R -torsor on D_y obtained from $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$. From this point of view the projection $\mathrm{pr} : {}_X \mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_X \mathcal{Y}$ is the map forgetting $\mathcal{F}'_R$.

Modify the definition of ${}_X \mathcal{X}$ and of ${}_X \mathcal{Y}$ as follows. Let

$${}_X \mathcal{Y} \subset \tilde{X} \times_{x, \infty} \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$$

be the open substack classifying: $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$ with π nonramified at $\tilde{y}$ and $y := \pi(\tilde{y}) \neq x$, $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta) \in x, \infty \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$ such that the map $\beta : \mathcal{F}_G \rightarrow \overline{G/R}$ factors through $G/R \subset \overline{G/R}$ in a neighbourhood of y .

Given for each $\sigma \in \Sigma = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{X}/X)$ a coweight $\gamma_\sigma : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^b$, we set $\gamma = \{\gamma_\sigma\}$. Let

$$\mathrm{pr} : {}_{\tilde{X}} \mathcal{X}_\gamma \rightarrow {}_{\tilde{X}} \mathcal{Y}$$

be the stack whose fibre over $(\tilde{y}, \mathcal{F}_G, \beta) \in {}_{\tilde{X}} \mathcal{Y}$ is the ind-scheme classifying: a R -torsor $\mathcal{F}'_R$ on D_y , an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_R|_{D_y^*}$, and an extension of the induced isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_R \times_R T \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_R \times_R T|_{D_y^*}$$

to an isomorphism over D_y

$$\mathcal{F}_R \times_R T \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}'_R \times_R T) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \gamma_\sigma \sigma(\tilde{y}) \right)$$

Here $y = \pi(\tilde{y})$, and $\mathcal{F}_R$ is the R -torsor on D_y obtained from $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$.

As above, we have an action map $\text{act} : \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y}$. The advantage is that any fibre of each of the map $\text{pr}, \text{act} : \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y}$ is reduced (it identifies with the affine grassmanian at y of a unipotent group scheme over X).

Now proceed as in [3]. Remind that $U(F_y)$ is an ind-group scheme, it can be written as a direct limit of some group schemes U^{-m} , $m \geq 0$, such that $U^{-m} \hookrightarrow U^{-m-1}$ is a closed subgroup, $U^0 = U(\mathcal{O}_y)$, and U^{-m}/U^0 are smooth of finite type (*loc.cit*, 3.1).

For this reason, for $m \geq 0$ there exist closed substacks

$$\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_{\gamma,m} \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_{\gamma,m+1} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma$$

such that both maps $\text{pr}, \text{act} : \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_{\gamma,m} \rightarrow \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y}$ are of finite type and smooth of the same relative dimension, and $\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma$ is a direct limit of the stacks $\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_{\gamma,m}$.

As above, we have a map $\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma \rightarrow {}_X\mathcal{G}r_R$, hence also the evaluation map $\text{ev}_{\tilde{X},\gamma} : \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$.

Definition 2. Let $\text{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x,\infty)\overline{\text{Bun}}_R}$ denote the category of perverse sheaves on ${}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$ equipped for each γ and $m \geq 0$ with isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{\gamma,m} : \text{act}^* \tilde{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}^* \tilde{K} \otimes \text{ev}_{\mathcal{X},\gamma}^* \mathcal{L}$$

over $\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_{\gamma,m}$. Here $\tilde{K}$ denotes the restriction of K under $\tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow {}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$. It is required that for $m_1 < m_2$ the restriction of α_{γ,m_2} to $\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_{\gamma,m_1}$ equals α_{γ,m_1} , the restriction of $\alpha_{0,m}$ to the unit section of $\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_{0,m}$ is the identity, and the usual associativity condition holds.

Denote by $\text{P}^\mathcal{L}(\overline{\text{Bun}}_R)$ the full subcategory of $\text{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x,\infty)\overline{\text{Bun}}_R}$ consisting of perverse sheaves, which are extension by zero under $\overline{\text{Bun}}_R \hookrightarrow {}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R$.

1.3 Let ${}_x\mathcal{H}_G$ denote the Hecke stack classifying G -torsors $\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}'_G$ on X together with an isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_G|_{X-x}$. Let $\mathfrak{q} : {}_x\mathcal{H}_G \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ (resp., $\mathfrak{p} : {}_x\mathcal{H}_G \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$) denote the map forgetting $\mathcal{F}_G$ (resp., $\mathcal{F}'_G$). Consider the diagram

$${}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{p}_R} {}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{q}_R} {}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R,$$

where we used $\mathfrak{p}$ to define the fibred product, $\mathfrak{p}_R$ forgets $\mathcal{F}'_G$, and $\mathfrak{q}_R$ sends $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta, \mathcal{F}'_G, \tau)$ to $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta')$, where β' is the composition

$$\mathcal{F}'_G \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{\beta} \overline{G/R}$$

In the same way one gets the diagram

$$\tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{Y}} \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{q}_\mathcal{Y}} \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y}$$

The action of the groupoid $\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}$ on $\tilde{X}\mathcal{Y}$ lifts to an action on this diagram (in the sense of [5], A.1). Namely, for each γ we have two diagrams, where the squares are cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \\ \uparrow \mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{X} & & \uparrow \mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{Y} \\ \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_\mathcal{X} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_\mathcal{Y} \\ \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\text{act}} \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \\
& \uparrow \mathfrak{p}_X & \uparrow \mathfrak{p}_Y \\
\tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G & \xrightarrow{\text{act}} & \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y} \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G \\
& \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_X & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_Y \\
& \tilde{X}\mathcal{X}_\gamma & \xrightarrow{\text{act}} \tilde{X}\mathcal{Y}
\end{array}$$

Write $\text{Sph}(\text{Gr}_{G',x})$ for the category of $G'(\mathcal{O}_x)$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on the affine grassmanian $\text{Gr}_{G',x} = G'(F_x)/G'(\mathcal{O}_x)$. This is a tensor category equivalent to the category of representations of the Langlands dual group $\check{G}'$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ ([6]).

Let Bun_G^x be the stack classifying a G -bundle $\mathcal{F}_G$ on X with an isomorphism of G -torsors $\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_{G'}|_{D_x}$. In a way compatible with our identification $\text{Bun}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Bun}_{G'}$ one can view Bun_G^x as the stack classifying a G' -torsor $\mathcal{F}_{G'}$ with a trivialization $\mathcal{F}_{G'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{G'}^0|_{D_x}$. So, the projection $\mathfrak{q} : {}_x\mathcal{H}_G \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ can be written as a fibration

$$\text{Bun}_G^x \times_{G'(\mathcal{O}_x)} \text{Gr}_{G',x} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G,$$

Now for $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Sph}(\text{Gr}_{G',x})$ and $K \in \text{D}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R)$ we can form their twisted exterior product

$$K \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{A} \in \text{D}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G)$$

It is normalized so that it is perverse for K perverse and $\mathbb{D}(K \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}(K) \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{A})$.

Define the Hecke functor $\text{H}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) : \text{D}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R) \rightarrow \text{D}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R)$ by

$$\text{H}(\mathcal{A}, K) = (\mathfrak{p}_R)_!(K \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{A})$$

These functors are compatible with the tensor structure on $\text{Sph}(\text{Gr}_{G',x})$. Namely, we have canonically

$$\text{H}(\mathcal{A}_1, \text{H}(\mathcal{A}_2, K)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{H}(\mathcal{A}_1 * \mathcal{A}_2, K), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_1 * \mathcal{A}_2 \in \text{Sph}(\text{Gr}_{G',x})$ is the convolution ([3], Sect. 5).

As in 1.2, one defines the category $\text{P}^\mathcal{L}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G)$. If $K \in \text{P}^\mathcal{L}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R)$ then

$$K \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{A} \in \text{P}^\mathcal{L}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_x\mathcal{H}_G),$$

so the complex $\text{H}(\mathcal{A}, K)$ inherits a $\mathcal{L}$ -equivariant structure. Each perverse cohomology sheaf of $\text{H}(\mathcal{A}, K)$ lies in $\text{P}^\mathcal{L}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_R)$.

1.4 Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the set of $R(F_x)$ -orbits on the affine grassmanian $\text{Gr}_{G,x} = G(F_x)/G(\mathcal{O}_x)$. We are interested in the situations where $\Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is *descrete*. Write $\text{Orb}_\mu \subset \text{Gr}_{G,x}$ for the $R(F_x)$ -orbit corresponding to $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$ be the stack classifying: a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G$ on D_x , a R -torsor $\mathcal{F}_R$ on D_x^* , and a R -equivariant map $\mathcal{F}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_G|_{D_x^*}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$ identifies with the stack quotient of $\text{Gr}_{G,x}$ by $R(F_x)$.

For $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ let $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}^\mu$ (resp., $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}^{\leq \mu}$) denote the stack quotient of Orb_μ (resp., of $\overline{\text{Orb}}_\mu$) by $R(F_x)$. (We don't precise for the moment the scheme structure on $\overline{\text{Orb}}_\mu$). We have an order on $\Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ given by $\mu' \leq \mu$ iff $\text{Orb}_{\mu'} \subset \overline{\text{Orb}}_\mu$.

We have a map ${}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{loc}$ sending $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$ to its restriction to D_x . For $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ set

$${}_{x,\mu}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R = {}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R \times_{\mathcal{Y}_{loc}} \mathcal{Y}_{loc}^{\leq \mu} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_{x,\mu}\widetilde{\text{Bun}}_R = {}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R \times_{\mathcal{Y}_{loc}} \mathcal{Y}_{loc}^{\mu}$$

Let ${}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R \subset {}_{x,\mu}\widetilde{\text{Bun}}_R$ be the open substack given by the condition that

$$\beta : \mathcal{F}_G|_{X-x} \rightarrow \overline{G/R}|_{X-x}$$

factors through $G/R|_{X-x} \subset \overline{G/R}|_{X-x}$.

To summarize, we have a sequence of embeddings,

$${}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R \hookrightarrow {}_{x,\mu}\widetilde{\text{Bun}}_R \hookrightarrow {}_{x,\mu}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R \hookrightarrow {}_{x,\infty}\overline{\text{Bun}}_R,$$

where the first two arrows are open embeddings, and the last arrow is a closed one.

1.5 The stack ${}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R$ classifies: a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G$ on X , a G -equivariant map $\beta : \mathcal{F}_G \rightarrow G/R|_{X-x}$ such that the restriction of $(\mathcal{F}_G, \beta)$ to D_x lies in $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}^{\mu}$. Set

$${}_{\mu}\mathcal{X} = {}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R \times_{\mathcal{Y}_{loc}} {}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R,$$

this is a groupoid over ${}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R$ for the two projections $\text{pr}, \text{act} : {}_{\mu}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R$.

View ${}_{\mu}\mathcal{X}$ as the stack classifying: R -torsors $\mathcal{F}_R, \mathcal{F}'_R$ on $X - x$ with an isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{F}_R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_R|_{D_x^*}$, a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G$ on X , and a R -equivariant map $\mathcal{F}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_G|_{X-x}$, whose restriction to D_x lies in $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}^{\mu}$. The projection $\text{pr} : {}_{\mu}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow {}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R$ forgets $\mathcal{F}'_R$.

Let ${}_{\mu}\text{ev}_{\mathcal{X}} : {}_{\mu}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$ be the map sending the above collection to the H -torsor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_H$ on X obtained by the following gluing procedure. Let $\mathcal{F}_H$ denote the H -torsor on $X - x$ of isomorphisms

$$\text{Isom}(\mathcal{F}_R \times_R H, \mathcal{F}'_R \times_R H)$$

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_H$ is the gluing of $\mathcal{F}_H$ and of $\mathcal{F}_H^0|_{D_x}$ over D_x^* via $\tau : \mathcal{F}_H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_H^0|_{D_x^*}$.

We say that $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is *relevant* if there exists a morphism $\text{ev}^{\mu} : {}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$ making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_H \times_{{}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \text{ev}^{\mu}} & \text{Bun}_H \times \text{Bun}_H \xrightarrow{m} \text{Bun}_H \\ \uparrow {}_{\mu}\text{ev}_{\mathcal{X}} \times \text{pr} & & \uparrow \text{ev}^{\mu} \\ {}_{\mu}\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{act}} & {}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R, \end{array} \quad (4)$$

If such ev^{μ} exists, it is unique up to a tensoring by a fixed H -torsor on X . Write $\Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}^+$ for the set of relevant $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Write $0 \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}$ for the $R(F_x)$ -orbit on $\text{Gr}_{G,x}$ passing by 1. Then ${}_{x,0}\text{Bun}_R$ is nothing but the stack Bun_R of R -bundles on X . The homomorphism $R \rightarrow H$ yields a map $\text{ev}^0 : {}_{x,0}\text{Bun}_R \rightarrow \text{Bun}_H$ such that (4) commutes, so $0 \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}^+$.

For $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Y}}^+$ we denote by $\mathcal{B}^{\mu}$ the Goresky-MacPherson extension of

$$(\text{ev}^{\mu})^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}[1](\frac{1}{2})^{\otimes \dim {}_{x,\mu}\text{Bun}_R}$$

under ${}_{x,\mu}\mathrm{Bun}_R \hookrightarrow {}_{x,\mu}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$. By construction, $\mathcal{B}^\mu \in \mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}({}_{x,\infty}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R)$.

The examples of the above situation include Whittaker models, Waldspurger models for GL_2 , and Bessel models for GSp_4 (the latter is studied in Sect. 2).

1.6 WHITTAKER MODELS Let G' be a connected reductive group over k , $B' \subset G'$ a Borel subgroup, $U' \subset B'$ its unipotent radical. Set $T' = B'/U'$. Assume that $[G', G']$ is simply connected. Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the set of vertices of the Dynkin diagram, and $\{\check{\alpha}_i, i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ the simple roots corresponding to B' . Fix a B' -torsor $\mathfrak{F}_{B'}$ on X and a conductor for the induced T' -torsor $\mathfrak{F}_{T'}$. That is, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ we fix an inclusion of coherent sheaves

$$\tilde{\omega}_i : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{F}_{T'}}^{\check{\alpha}_i} \hookrightarrow \Omega$$

Write $\mathfrak{F}_{G'}$ for the G' -torsor induced from $\mathfrak{F}_{B'}$. Now G is the group scheme of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{F}_{G'}$. Let $R \subset G$ denote the group scheme of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{F}_{B'}$ acting trivially on $\mathfrak{F}_{T'}$.

To satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1, take

$$V = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{F}_{T'}}^{\tilde{\omega}_i}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{F}_{G'}}^{\tilde{\omega}_i}),$$

the sum being taken over the set of fundamental weights $\tilde{\omega}_i$ of G' . Here $\mathcal{V}^{\check{\lambda}}$ is the Weil G' -module corresponding to $\check{\lambda}$. Then G acts on V , and V is equipped with a canonical section $\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow V$. By ([1], 1.1.2), G/R is strongly quasi-affine over X .

The group scheme of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{F}_{B'}/[U', U']$ acting trivially on $\mathfrak{F}_{T'}$ is canonically

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{F}_{T'}}^{\check{\alpha}_i}$$

Set $H = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Omega$. Define a homomorphism of group schemes $R \rightarrow H$ over X as the composition

$$R \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{F}_{T'}}^{\check{\alpha}_i} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} H$$

The stack $\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$ identifies with the one classifying pairs $(\mathcal{F}_{G'}, \kappa)$, where $\mathcal{F}_{G'}$ is a G' -torsor on X , and κ is a collection of maps

$$\kappa^{\check{\lambda}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{F}_{T'}}^{\check{\lambda}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_{G'}}^{\check{\lambda}}$$

for each dominant weight $\check{\lambda}$ of G' , satisfying Plücker relations ([3], 2.2.2).

The set $\Lambda_{\mathbf{y}}$ identifies in this case with the group $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T')$ of coweights of T' .

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{y}}$ the stack ${}_{x,\lambda}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$ classifies: a G' -torsor $\mathcal{F}_{G'}$ on X , a collection of maps

$$\kappa^{\check{\lambda}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{F}_{T'}}^{\check{\lambda}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_{G'}}^{\check{\lambda}}(\langle \lambda, \check{\lambda} \rangle x)$$

for each dominant weight $\check{\lambda}$ of G' , satisfying Plücker relations.

Assume that the base field k is of characteristic $p > 0$, fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell^*$. Write $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ for the corresponding Artin-Shreier sheaf on $\mathbb{A}_k^1$. Take $\mathcal{L}$ to be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ under the map

$$\mathrm{Bun}_H \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} H^1(X, \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} \mathbb{A}_k^1$$

The corresponding Whittaker category $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x, \infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R}$ has been described by Frenkel, Gaitsgory and Vilonen in [3].

1.7 WALDSPURGER MODELS The ground field k is of characteristic $p \neq 2$. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a two-sheeted covering ramified over some divisor D_π on X , where $\tilde{X}$ is a smooth projective curve. Set $L_\pi = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ and $G' = \mathrm{GL}_2$. View L_π as a G' -torsor $\mathfrak{F}_{G'}$ on X . Let G be the group scheme of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{F}_{G'}$. Let R be the group scheme over X such that for a X -scheme S we have $R(S) = \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{X} \times_X S, \mathbb{G}_m)$, so R is a closed group subscheme of G over X .

Let σ be the nontrivial automorphism of $\tilde{X}$ over X , so $L_\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ are σ -anti-invariants in L_π . It is equipped with $\mathcal{E}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(-D_\pi)$. Take $V = \mathcal{E}nd_0(L_\pi) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{E}nd_0(L_\pi)$ stands for the sheaf of traceless endomorphisms of L_π . The group scheme G acts on V via its action on L_π (the action of G on $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial).

We have

$$V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(D_\pi) \oplus \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{-1}$$

Consider the section $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow V$ given by $(-1, 1, 0)$. The assumptions of Lemma 1 are satisfied.

Set $H = R$. The stack Bun_H classifies line bundles on $\tilde{X}$. Pick a rank one local system $\tilde{E}$ on $\tilde{X}$. Take $\mathcal{L}$ to be the automorphic local system on Bun_H corresponding to $\tilde{E}$. The stack ${}_{x, \infty} \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R$ in this case is canonically isomorphic to the stack Wald_π^x introduced in ([5], 8.2). The corresponding Waldspurger category $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x, \infty) \overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_R}$ has been studied in *loc.cit.*

2. BESSEL CATEGORIES

2.1.1 THE GROUP G . From now on k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2$. We change the notation compared to Sect. 1. From now on $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$, so G is the quotient of $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathrm{Sp}_4$ by the diagonally embedded $\{\pm 1\}$. We realize G as the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(k^4)$ preserving up to a scalar the bilinear form given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_2 \\ -E_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_2 is the unit matrix of GL_2 .

Let T be the maximal torus of G given by $\{(y_1, \dots, y_4) \mid y_i y_{2+i} \text{ does not depend on } i\}$. Let Λ (resp., $\check{\Lambda}$) denote the coweight (resp., weight) lattice of T . Let $\check{\epsilon}_i \in \check{\Lambda}$ be the character that sends a point of T to y_i . We have $\Lambda = \{(a_1, \dots, a_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid a_i + a_{2+i} \text{ does not depend on } i\}$ and

$$\check{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}^4 / \{\check{\epsilon}_1 + \check{\epsilon}_3 - \check{\epsilon}_2 - \check{\epsilon}_4\}$$

Fix the Borel subgroup of G preserving the flag $ke_1 \subset ke_1 \oplus ke_2$ of isotropic subspaces in the standard representation. The corresponding positive roots are

$$\{\check{\alpha}_{12}, \check{\beta}_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2\},$$

where $\check{\alpha}_{12} = \check{e}_1 - \check{e}_2$ and $\check{\beta}_{ij} = \check{e}_i - \check{e}_{2+j}$. The simple roots are $\check{\alpha}_{12}$ and $\check{\beta}_{22}$. Write $V^{\check{\lambda}}$ for the irreducible representation of G of highest weight $\check{\lambda}$.

Fix fundamental weights $\check{\omega}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\check{\omega}_2 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ of G . So, $V^{\check{\omega}_1}$ is the standard representation of G . The orthogonal to the coroot lattice is $\mathbb{Z}\check{\omega}_0$ with $\check{\omega}_0 = (1, 0, 1, 0)$. The orthogonal to the root lattice is $\mathbb{Z}\omega$ with $\omega = (1, 1, 1, 1)$.

Let $P \subset G$ be the Siegel parabolic subgroup preserving the lagrangian subspace $ke_1 \oplus ke_2 \subset k^4$. Write U for the unipotent radical of P , set $M = P/U$.

Let $\check{G}$ (resp., $\check{M}$) denote the Langlands dual group over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Write V^λ (resp., U^λ) for the irreducible representation of $\check{G}$ (resp., of $\check{M}$) with highest weight λ .

Let w_0 be the longest element of the Weil group of G . Write Λ^+ for the set of dominant coweights of G . By $\check{\rho}$ is denoted the half sum of positive roots of G . The corresponding objects for M are denoted $\Lambda_M^+, w_0^M, \check{\rho}_M$.

Set $G_{ad} = G/Z$, where $Z \subset G$ is the center. Set $\check{\nu}_1 = \check{\omega}_2 - \check{\omega}_0$ and $\check{\nu}_2 = 2\check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_0$. So, $V^{\check{\nu}_1}$ is the standard representation of G_{ad} and $\wedge^2 V^{\check{\nu}_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\check{\nu}_2}$. Let $\Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ be the coweights lattice of G_{ad} . Write $\Lambda_{G_{ad}}^{pos}$ for the $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -span of positive coroots in $\Lambda_{G_{ad}}$.

2.1.2 For $d \geq 0$ write $X^{(d)}$ for the d -th symmetric power of X , view it as the scheme of effective divisors of degree d on X . Let ${}^{rss}X^{(d)} \subset X^{(d)}$ denote the open subscheme of divisors of the form $x_1 + \dots + x_d$ with x_i pairwise distinct. Write Bun_i for the stack of rank i vector bundles on X . Set

$$\text{RCov}^d = \text{Bun}_1 \times_{\text{Bun}_1} {}^{rss}X^{(d)},$$

where the map ${}^{rss}X^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_1$ sends D to $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$, and the map $\text{Bun}_1 \rightarrow \text{Bun}_1$ takes a line bundle to its tensor square. It is understood that ${}^{rss}X^{(0)} = \text{Spec } k$ and the point ${}^{rss}X^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_1$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$. Then RCov^d is the stack classifying two-sheeted coverings $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ ramified exactly at $D \in {}^{rss}X^{(d)}$ with $\tilde{X}$ smooth ([5], 7.7.2).

Fix a character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ and write $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ for the corresponding Artin-Schreier sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1$.

2.2.1 Fix a k -point of RCov^d given by $D_\pi \in {}^{rss}X^{(d)}$ and $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ ramified exactly at D_π . Let σ denote the nontrivial automorphism of $\tilde{X}$ over X , let $\mathcal{E}$ be the σ -anti-invariants in $L_\pi := \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. It is equipped with an isomorphism

$$\kappa : \mathcal{E}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(-D_\pi)$$

Remind that L_π is equipped with a symmetric form $\text{Sym}^2 L_\pi \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}$ such that $\text{div}(L_\pi^*/L_\pi) = D_\pi$ for the induced map $L_\pi \hookrightarrow L_\pi^*$ ([5], Proposition 14). Set $\mathcal{M}_\pi = L_\pi \oplus (L_\pi^* \otimes \Omega^{-1})$. It is equipped with a symplectic form

$$\wedge^2 \mathcal{M}_\pi \rightarrow L_\pi \otimes (L_\pi^* \otimes \Omega^{-1}) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}$$

Write $\mathfrak{F}_G$ for the G -torsor $(\mathcal{M}_\pi, \Omega^{-1})$ on X . Let G_π be the group scheme (over X) of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{F}_G$. Write $\mathcal{A}_\pi$ for the line bundle Ω^{-1} on X equipped with the corresponding action of G_π .

Let $P_\pi \subset G_\pi$ denote the Siegel parabolic subgroup preserving L_π , $U_\pi \subset P_\pi$ its unipotent radical. Then U_π is equipped with a homomorphism of group schemes on X

$$\mathrm{ev}_\pi : U_\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 L_\pi \xrightarrow{s} \Omega$$

Denote by $\tilde{R}_\pi \subset P_\pi$ the subgroup stabilizing ev_π , that is,

$$\tilde{R}_\pi = \{p \in P_\pi \mid \mathrm{ev}_\pi(pup^{-1}) = \mathrm{ev}_\pi(u) \text{ for all } u \in U_\pi\}$$

Let $\mathrm{GL}(L_\pi)$ denote the group scheme (over X) of automorphisms of the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module L_π . Let T_π denote the functor associating to a X -scheme V the group $H^0(\tilde{X} \times_X V, \mathcal{O}^*)$. Then T_π is a group scheme over X , a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(L_\pi)$.

Write Bun_{T_π} for the stack of T_π -bundles on X , that is, for a scheme S the S -points of Bun_{T_π} is the category of $(S \times X) \times_X T_\pi$ -torsors on $S \times X$. Given a $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor on $S \times \tilde{X}$, its direct image under $\mathrm{id} \times \pi : S \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow S \times X$ is a $(S \times X) \times_X T_\pi$ -torsor. In this way one identifies Bun_{T_π} with the Picard stack $\mathrm{Pic} \tilde{X}$.

Let $\alpha : T_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the character by which T_π acts on $\det(L_\pi)$. Fix an inclusion $T_\pi \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}_\pi$ by making $t \in T_\pi$ act on $L_\pi \oplus (L_\pi^* \otimes \Omega^{-1})$ as $(t, \alpha(t)(t^*)^{-1})$, where $t^* \in \mathrm{Aut}(L_\pi^*)$ is the adjoint operator. Set $R_\pi = T_\pi U_\pi$, so $R_\pi \subset \tilde{R}_\pi$ is a subgroup. Actually, $\tilde{R}_\pi/U_\pi$ identifies with the group of those $g \in \mathrm{GL}(L_\pi)$ for which there exists $\tilde{\alpha}(g) \in \mathbb{G}_m$ such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}^2 L_\pi & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathcal{O} \\ \uparrow g & & \uparrow \tilde{\alpha}(g) \\ \mathrm{Sym}^2 L_\pi & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathcal{O} \end{array}$$

So, $\tilde{R}_\pi/U_\pi$ is equipped with a character $\tilde{\alpha} : \tilde{R}_\pi/U_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ whose restriction to R_π equals α . For $g \in \tilde{R}_\pi/U_\pi$ the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_\pi & \xrightarrow{s} & L_\pi^* \\ \uparrow g & & \downarrow g^* \\ L_\pi & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}(g)s} & L_\pi^*, \end{array}$$

so $(\det g)^2 = \tilde{\alpha}(g)^2$. We see that R_π is the connected component of $\tilde{R}_\pi$ given by the additional condition $\det g = \tilde{\alpha}(g)$.

Lemma 3. *The conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, so G_π/R_π is strongly quasi-affine over X .*

Proof Define a G_π -module W_π by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_\pi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\pi^{-1} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathcal{M}_\pi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. So, W_π is equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric form $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_\pi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, and the center of G_π acts trivially on W_π .

We have a subbundle $W_{\pi,1} := \mathcal{A}_\pi^{-1} \otimes \det L_\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E}$ in W_π . Let $W_{\pi,-1}$ denote the orthogonal complement to $W_{\pi,1}$ in W_π . Then $W_{\pi,-1}/W_{\pi,1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}nd_0(L_\pi)$. As in 1.7, we have a subbundle $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_0(L_\pi)$. It gives rise to a subbundle

$$\Omega(-D_\pi) \hookrightarrow W_{\pi,1} \otimes (W_{\pi,-1}/W_{\pi,1}) \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W_\pi$$

Set

$$V = (\Omega^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes W_\pi) \oplus (\Omega^{-1}(D_\pi) \otimes \wedge^2 W_\pi),$$

with the action of G_π coming from its action on W_π . We get a subbundle $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} V$, which is the sum of the above two sections. One checks that $R = \{g \in G \mid gs = s\}$, and the pair (V, s) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1. $\square$

2.2.2 Fix a k -point $x \in X$, write $\mathcal{O}_x$ for the completed local ring of X at x and F_x for its fraction field. Set $D_x = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x$ and $D_x^* = \text{Spec } F_x$.

Write $\tilde{F}_x$ for the étale F_x -algebra of regular functions on $\tilde{X} \times_X D_x^*$. If $x \in D_\pi$ then $\tilde{F}_x$ is nonsplit otherwise it splits over F_x . Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x$ the ring of regular functions on $\tilde{X} \times_X D_x$.

Write $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x}$ for the affine grassmanian $G_\pi(F_x)/G_\pi(\mathcal{O}_x)$. This is an ind-scheme over k that can be seen as the moduli scheme of pairs $(\mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}, \beta)$, where $\mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}$ is a G_π -torsor over D_x and $\beta : \mathcal{F}_{G_\pi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}^0$ is an isomorphism over D_x^* .

In concrete terms, $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x}$ classifies pairs: $\mathcal{O}_x$ -lattices $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_\pi \otimes F_x$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \Omega^{-1} \otimes F_x$ such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \wedge^2 \mathcal{M}_\pi \otimes F_x & \rightarrow & \Omega^{-1} \otimes F_x \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \wedge^2 \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

and induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{O}_x$ -modules.

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$ denote the stack classifying

- a free $\tilde{F}_x$ -module $\mathcal{B}$ of rank one, then write L for $\mathcal{B}$ viewed as F_x -module, it is equipped with the non degenerate form $\text{Sym}^2 L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{E} \otimes F_x) \otimes \det L$ ([5], Proposition 14);
- a G -bundle $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ on $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x$, here $\mathcal{M}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module of rank 4, $\mathcal{A}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module of rank 1 with a symplectic form $\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (it induces $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}$);
- an inclusion $L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_x} F_x$ of F_x -vector spaces, whose image is an isotropic subspace;
- an isomorphism $\Omega \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes F_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}$ of F_x -vector spaces.

Lemma 4. The stack $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$ identifies with the stack quotient of $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x}$ by $R_\pi(F_x)$.

Proof Given a point of $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$, it defines a P_π -torsor over $\text{Spec } F_x$. Fix a splitting of the corresponding exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L \otimes F_x \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes F_x \rightarrow 0$. Fix also a trivialization $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{F}_x$. Then our data becomes just a point of $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x}$. Changing the two trivializations above corresponds to the action of $R_\pi(F_x)$ on $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x}$. So, $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$ classifies a G_π -torsor $\mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}$ on D_x equipped with a R_π -structure over D_x^* . $\square$

The $R_\pi(F_x)$ -orbits on $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x}$ are described in ([2], Sect. 1). Set $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}} = \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a_2 \geq 0\}$.

Lemma 5. *The k -points of $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$ are indexed by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof Given a k -point of $\mathcal{Y}_{loc}$, set $L_2 = \mathcal{M} \cap L$. We get a P_π -torsor over D_x given by an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L_2 \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$ of $\mathcal{O}_x$ -modules. There is a unique $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the isomorphism over F_x extends to an isomorphism $\Omega \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E} \otimes \det L_2)(D_\pi + a_1 x)$ of $\mathcal{O}_x$ -modules.

Further, $(L_2, \mathcal{B}, L \xrightarrow{\sim} L_2 \otimes F_x)$ is a k -point of $\text{Wald}_\pi^{x, loc}$ given by some $a_2 \geq 0$. Namely, if $\mathcal{B}_{ex} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is the smallest $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x$ -lattice such that $L_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_{ex}$ then $a_2 = \dim(\mathcal{B}_{ex}/L_2)$ ([5], 8.1). $\square$

We realize $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ as a subsemigroup of $\Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ via the map sending (a_1, a_2) to $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ given by $\langle \lambda, \check{\nu}_1 \rangle = a_1$ and $\langle \lambda, \check{\nu}_2 \rangle = a_1 + a_2$. Then $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_{ad}} \mid \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha}_{12} \rangle \geq 0\}$.

The image of α_{12} in $\Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ is divisible by two. Define the subsemigroup $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^{pos} \subset \Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -span of $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{12}, \beta_{22}$. Then

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^{pos} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_{ad}} \mid \langle \lambda, \check{\nu}_i \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}$$

We introduce an order on $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ as follows. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ write $\lambda \geq \mu$ iff $\lambda - \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^{pos}$. The reader should be cautioned that this is *not* the order induced from $\Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ (the latter order is never used in this paper).

2.3.1 The stack Bun_{R_π} classifies collections: a line bundle $\mathcal{B}_{ex}$ on $\tilde{X}$, for which we set $L_{ex} = \pi_* \mathcal{B}_{ex}$, and an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L_{ex} \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes \det L_{ex} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

By ([5], Proposition 14), L_{ex} is equipped with a symmetric form

$$\text{Sym}^2 L_{ex} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes \det L_{ex} \quad (6)$$

It admits a canonical section $\mathcal{E} \otimes \det L_{ex} \xrightarrow{s} \text{Sym}^2 L_{ex}$.

Here is a Plücker type description of Bun_{R_π} . It is the stack classifying:

- a G -bundle $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ on X , here $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Bun}_4$, $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Bun}_1$ with a symplectic form $\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, for which we set $W = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{A}^{-1} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$;
- two subbundles

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 : \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E} &\hookrightarrow W \\ \kappa_2 : \Omega(-D_\pi) &\hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W \end{aligned}$$

It is required that there is a lagrangian subbundle $L_{ex} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, a line bundle $\mathcal{B}_{ex}$ on $\tilde{X}$ and an isomorphism $L_{ex} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_* \mathcal{B}_{ex}$ with the following properties. Let W_{-1} denote the orthogonal complement to $W_1 = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \otimes \det L_{ex}$ in W , so that $W_{-1}/W_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_0(L_{ex})$ is equipped with $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{s} \text{End}_0(L_{ex})$. Then

κ_1 factors as $\Omega \otimes \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_1 \hookrightarrow W$;

κ_2 factors as $\Omega(-D_\pi) \xrightarrow{s} W_1 \otimes W_{-1}/W_1 \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W$.

2.3.2 As in 1.2, we have the stacks $\overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \hookrightarrow_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$. By definition, $_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ classifies pairs $(\mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}, \beta)$, where $\mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}$ is a G_π -torsor on X , and $\beta : \mathcal{F}_{G_\pi} \rightarrow \overline{G_\pi/R_\pi}|_{X-x}$ is a G_π -equivariant map such that β factors through G_π/R_π over some non-empty open subset of $X - x$.

Here is a Plücker type description. The stack $_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ classifies:

- a G -bundle $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ on X , here $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Bun}_4$, $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Bun}_1$ with a symplectic form $\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, for which we set $W = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{A}^{-1} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$;
- nonzero sections

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 : \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E} &\hookrightarrow W(\infty x) \\ \kappa_2 : \Omega(-D_\pi) &\hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W(\infty x) \end{aligned}$$

It is required that for some nonempty open subset $X^0 \subset X - x$ there is a lagrangian subbundle $L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}|_{X^0}$, a line bundle $\mathcal{B}$ on $\pi^{-1}(X^0)$ and an isomorphism $L \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_* \mathcal{B}|_{X^0}$ with the following properties. Let W_{-1} denote the orthogonal complement to $W_1 = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \otimes \det L$ in $W|_{X^0}$, so $W_{-1}/W_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}nd_0 L$ is equipped with $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{E}nd_0 L$. Then

$$\kappa_1|_{X^0} \text{ factors as } \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_1 \hookrightarrow W|_{X^0};$$

$$\kappa_2|_{X^0} \text{ factors as } \Omega(-D_\pi) \xrightarrow{s} W_1 \otimes W_{-1}/W_1 \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W|_{X^0}.$$

Definition 4. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ denote by $_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \hookrightarrow_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ the closed substack given by the condition that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 : \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\nu}_1 \rangle x) &\hookrightarrow W \\ \kappa_2 : \Omega(-D_\pi - \langle \lambda, \check{\nu}_2 \rangle x) &\hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W \end{aligned}$$

initially defined over $X - x$ are regular over X .

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ we have $_{x,\mu} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \subset_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ if and only if $\mu \leq \lambda$.

As in 1.4, we have the open substacks

$$_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \subset_{x,\lambda} \widetilde{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \subset_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi},$$

given by requiring that κ_1, κ_2 are maximal everywhere on X (resp., in a neighbourhood of x).

2.4 The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 6. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$. For any k -point of $_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ there is a unique divisor D on X with values in $-\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{pos}}$ such that the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 : \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E}(-\langle \lambda x + D, \check{\nu}_1 \rangle) &\hookrightarrow W \\ \kappa_2 : \Omega(-D_\pi - \langle \lambda x + D, \check{\nu}_2 \rangle) &\hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W \end{aligned}$$

are regular and maximal everywhere on X , and $D + \lambda x$ is a divisor with values in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$. $\square$

Consider a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ -valued divisor D on X with $D = \lambda x + \sum_{y \neq x} \lambda_y y$ such that $\lambda_y \in -\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{pos}}$ for $y \neq x$. Denote by $_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \subset_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ the substack given by the condition that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 : \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E}(-\langle D, \check{\nu}_1 \rangle) &\hookrightarrow W \\ \kappa_2 : \Omega(-D_\pi - \langle D, \check{\nu}_2 \rangle) &\hookrightarrow \wedge^2 W \end{aligned}$$

are regular and maximal everywhere on X . In particular, for $D = \lambda x$ we get ${}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \xrightarrow{\sim}_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$.

Actually, ${}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ is the stack classifying: a line bundle $\mathcal{B}_{ex}$ on $\tilde{X}$, for which we set $L_{ex} = \pi_* \mathcal{B}_{ex}$, a modification $L_2 \subset L_{ex}$ of rank 2 vector bundles on X such that the composition is surjective

$$\text{Sym}^2 L_2 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L_{ex} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes \det L_{ex}$$

and $\text{div}(L_{ex}/L_2) = \langle D, \check{\nu}_2 - \check{\nu}_1 \rangle$, and an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L_2 \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = (\Omega^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes \det L_2)(\langle D, \check{\nu}_1 \rangle)$. We have used here the description of $\mathcal{Wald}_\pi^{x,a}$ from ([5], Sect. 8.2).

Remark 1. For $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by ${}^{a_1}_x \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \subset {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ the substack given by the condition that the map

$$\kappa_1 : \Omega \otimes \mathcal{E}(-a_1 x) \hookrightarrow W$$

is regular and maximal everywhere on X . This is the stack classifying collections: $L_2 \in \text{Bun}_2$, an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L_2 \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$ on X with $\mathcal{A} = (\Omega^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes \det L_2)(a_1 x)$, a line bundle $\mathcal{B}$ on $\pi^{-1}(X - x)$, and an isomorphism $\pi_* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_2|_{X-x}$. We have the projection

$${}^{a_1}_x \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{Wald}_\pi^x$$

sending the above point to $(L_2, \mathcal{B}, \pi_* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_2|_{X-x})$ (cf. [5], 8.2).

For $\lambda = (a_1, a_2) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ write ${}^{a_1}_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ for the preimage of $\mathcal{Wald}_\pi^{x,\leq a_2}$ under this map. The preimage of $\mathcal{Wald}_\pi^{x,a_2}$ under the same map identifies with ${}_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$. Note that

$${}^{a_1}_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \subset {}_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$$

is an open substack. This will be used in 2.12.

2.5 Set $H = \Omega \times T_\pi$. Denote by $\chi_\pi : R_\pi \rightarrow H$ the homomorphism of group schemes over X given by $\chi_\pi(tu) = (ev_\pi(u), t)$, $t \in T_\pi, u \in U_\pi$. Let

$$ev^0 : \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$$

be the map sending a point of Bun_{R_π} to the pair $(\epsilon, \mathcal{B}_{ex})$, where ϵ is the class of the push-forward of (5) by (6).

Fix a rank one local system $\tilde{E}$ on $\tilde{X}$. Write $A\tilde{E}$ for the automorphic local system on $\text{Pic } \tilde{X}$ corresponding to $\tilde{E}$. For $d \geq 0$ its inverse image under $\tilde{X}^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d \tilde{X}$ identifies with the symmetric power $\tilde{E}^{(d)}$ of $\tilde{E}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_\psi \boxtimes A\tilde{E}$ under the natural map $\text{Bun}_H \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$. As in 1.2, our data give rise to the *Bessel category* $\text{P}^\mathcal{L}_{(x,\infty) \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}}$.

One checks that $\lambda = (a_1, a_2) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ is *relevant* (in the sense of 1.4) iff $a_1 \geq a_2$. Write $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ for the set of relevant $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$.

2.6 Consider a stratum ${}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ of ${}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ as in 2.4, so D is a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ -valued divisor on X . Arguing as in 1.2.3 (with the difference that now $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$ satisfies an additional assumption: $\pi(\tilde{y})$ does not lie in the support of D), one defines the category $\text{P}^{\mathcal{L}}({}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi})$.

We say that the stratum ${}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ is *relevant* if $\text{P}^{\mathcal{L}}({}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi})$ contains a nonzero object. As in ([3], Lemma 6.2.8). one shows that the stratum ${}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ is relevant iff $D = \lambda x$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ denote by

$$\text{ev}^\lambda : {}_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$$

the following map. Given a point of ${}_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ as in 2.4, ev^λ sends it to the pair $(\epsilon, \mathcal{B}_{ex})$, where ϵ is the class of the push-forward of (7) under the map $\text{Sym}^2 L_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \Omega$, obtained from the symmetric form on L_{ex} .

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ let $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ be the Goresky-MacPherson extension of

$$(\text{ev}^\lambda)^*(\mathcal{L}_\psi \boxtimes A\tilde{E}) \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1](\frac{1}{2})^{\otimes \dim {}_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}}$$

under ${}_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \hookrightarrow {}_{x,\lambda} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$. The irreducible objects of $\text{P}^{\mathcal{L}}({}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi})$ are (up to isomorphism) exactly $\mathcal{B}^\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$.

Let us underline that for $0 \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ the only relevant stratum of ${}_{x,0} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} = \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ is ${}_{x,0} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$. So, $\mathcal{B}^0$ is the extension by zero from ${}_{x,0} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$. As in [3], we say that $\mathcal{B}^0$ is *clean* with respect to the open immersion ${}_{x,0} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$. The same argument proves the following.

Lemma 7. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ the $*$ -restriction of $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ to ${}_{x,\lambda} \widetilde{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} - {}_{x,\lambda} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ vanishes. $\square$*

2.7 The natural projection $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{G_{ad}}$ induces a map $i : \Lambda^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$. Actually, we get an isomorphism of semi-groups

$$\Lambda^+ / \mathbb{Z}\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$$

The map i preserves the order, that is, if $\lambda \leq \mu$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^+$ then $i(\lambda) \leq i(\mu)$. Besides, $i(-w_0(\lambda)) = i(\lambda)$. For $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ an easy calculation shows that

$$\dim {}_{x,\mu} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} = \langle \mu, 2\check{\rho} \rangle + \dim \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \quad (8)$$

Remark 2. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. The map $\lambda' \mapsto i(\lambda')$ provides a bijection between $\{\lambda' \in \Lambda^+ \mid \lambda' \leq \lambda\}$ and $\{\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+ \mid \mu \leq i(\lambda); i(\lambda) - \mu = 0 \text{ in } \pi_1(G_{ad})\}$.

2.8 Remind that $G = \text{GSp}_4$ and for each $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Sph}(\text{Gr}_{G,x})$ we have the Hecke functor $\text{H}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) : \text{D}({}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}) \rightarrow \text{D}({}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi})$ introduced in 1.3.

Here is our main result.

Theorem 1. *1) Set $\check{\nu} = \frac{1}{2}w_0(\check{\omega}_0 - \check{\beta}_{22})$, so $\check{\nu} \in \check{\Lambda}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ we have canonically*

$$\text{H}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda, \mathcal{B}^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}^{i(\lambda)} \otimes (\tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}})^{\otimes \langle \lambda, 2\check{\nu} \rangle}, & \text{the nonsplit case, } \pi(\tilde{x}) = x \\ \mathcal{B}^{i(\lambda)} \otimes (\tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_1} \otimes \tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_2})^{\otimes \langle \lambda, \check{\nu} \rangle}, & \text{the split case, } \pi^{-1}(x) = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\} \end{cases}$$

2) For $\omega = (1, 1, 1, 1) \in \Lambda^+$ and $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ we have canonically

$$H(\mathcal{A}_\omega, \mathcal{B}^\mu) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}^\mu \otimes \tilde{E}_x^{\otimes 2}, & \text{the nonsplit case, } \pi(\tilde{x}) = x \\ \mathcal{B}^\mu \otimes \tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_1} \otimes \tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_2}, & \text{the split case, } \pi^{-1}(x) = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\} \end{cases}$$

2.9 Given a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G$ over D_x , denote by $\text{Gr}_{G,x}(\mathcal{F}_G)$ the affine grassmanian classifying pairs $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta)$, where $\mathcal{F}'_G$ is a G -torsor over D_x and $\beta : \mathcal{F}'_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G|_{D_x^*}$ an isomorphism.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ we have the subschemes (cf. [1], 3.2.1)

$$\text{Gr}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \subset \overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \subset \text{Gr}_{G,x}(\mathcal{F}_G)$$

A point $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta) \in \text{Gr}_{G,x}(\mathcal{F}_G)$ lies in $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G)$ if for any G -module V , whose weights are $\leq \check{\lambda}$, we have

$$V_{\mathcal{F}_G}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\lambda} \rangle x) \subset V_{\mathcal{F}'_G}$$

Remind that we identify $\text{Gr}_{G,\pi,x}$ with the ind-scheme $\text{Gr}_{G,x}(\mathfrak{F}_G)$ classifying pairs $(\mathcal{F}_G, \tilde{\beta})$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a G -torsor on D_x and

$$\tilde{\beta} : \mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_G|_{D_x^*}$$

is an isomorphism of G -torsors. A k -point $(\mathcal{F}_G, \tilde{\beta})$ of $\text{Gr}_{G,\pi,x}$ yields an inclusion $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_{G,\pi,x}$ sending $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta)$ to $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \tilde{\beta} \circ \beta)$. For $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ we denote by $S_{R_\pi}^\mu \subset \text{Gr}_{G,\pi,x}$ the $R_\pi(F_x)$ -orbit on $\text{Gr}_{G,\pi,x}$ corresponding to μ .

As in [3] and ([5], Proposition 17), the following is a key point of our proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$. Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \tilde{\beta})$ be a k -point of $S_{R_\pi}^\mu$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a G -torsor on D_x and $\tilde{\beta} : \mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_G|_{D_x^*}$ is an isomorphism of G -torsors. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ the scheme*

$$\overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \cap S_{R_\pi}^0 \tag{9}$$

is empty unless $\mu \leq i(\lambda)$ in the sense of the order on $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$. If $\mu \leq i(\lambda)$ then

$$\text{Gr}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \cap S_{R_\pi}^0 \tag{10}$$

is of dimension $\leq \langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$. The equality holds if and only if there exists $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$, $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ such that $\mu = i(\lambda')$, and in this case the irreducible components of (10) of maximal dimension form a base of

$$\text{Hom}_{\tilde{M}}(U^{w_0^M w_0(\lambda')}, V^\lambda)$$

If $\mu = i(\lambda)$ then (10) is a point scheme.

Remark 3. Consider the scheme (10) in the case $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ with $\lambda' < \lambda$ and $\mu = i(\lambda')$. Our proof of Proposition 1 will also show that for such λ and μ in the nonsplit case *all* the irreducible components of (10) are of the same dimension. In the split case (10) may have irreducible components of different dimensions (for example, this happens for $\lambda = (a, a, 0, 0) \in \Lambda^+$ and $\mu = 0$).

2.10 For a P -torsor $\mathcal{F}_P$ over D_x let $\mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{F}_P \times_P G$. For a coweight $\nu \in \Lambda_M^+$ denote by $S_P^\nu(\mathcal{F}_P)$ the ind-scheme classifying pairs $(\mathcal{F}'_P, \beta)$, where $\mathcal{F}'_P$ is a P -torsor on D_x and

$$\beta : \mathcal{F}'_P \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_P \mid_{D_x^*}$$

is an isomorphism such that the pair $(\mathcal{F}'_M, \beta)$ lies in $\text{Gr}_{M,x}^\nu(\mathcal{F}_M)$. Here $\mathcal{F}_M$ and $\mathcal{F}'_M$ are the M -torsors induced from $\mathcal{F}_P$ and $\mathcal{F}'_P$ respectively. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ denote by

$$\mathfrak{t}_P^\nu : S_P^\nu(\mathcal{F}_P) \cap \text{Gr}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{M,x}^\nu(\mathcal{F}_M) \quad (11)$$

the natural projection. Our Proposition 1 is based on the following result established in ([1], 4.3.3 and 5.3.7).

Proposition 2. *All the irreducible components of any fibre of $\mathfrak{t}_P^\nu$ are of dimension $\langle \nu + \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \nu, 2\check{\rho}_M \rangle$. These components form a base of*

$$\text{Hom}_{\check{M}}(U^\nu, V^\lambda)$$

For $\nu = w_0^M w_0(\lambda)$ the map (11) is an isomorphism. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1

Write $\mu = (a_1, a_2)$. The pair $(\mathcal{F}_G, \tilde{\beta})$ is given by $\mathcal{O}_x$ -lattices $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_\pi \otimes F_x$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \Omega^{-1} \otimes F_x$ such that $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ is a G -bundle over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x$. Note that

$$\langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(3a_1 + a_2) \quad (12)$$

1) (the nonsplit case).

Step 1. Acting by $R_\pi(F_x)$, we may assume that $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ has the following standard form $\mathcal{M} = L_2 \oplus (L_2^* \otimes \mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{A} = \Omega^{-1}((a_1 - a_2)x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ and $L_2 = \mathcal{O}_x \oplus \mathcal{O}_x t^{a_2 + \frac{1}{2}} \subset \tilde{F}_x$, here $t \in \mathcal{O}_x$ is a local parameter ([5], Sect. 8.1).

Any k -point of $S_{R_\pi}^0$ is given by a collection $(a \in \mathbb{Z}, L'_2 \subset \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{A}')$, where $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}_\pi \otimes F_x$ is a $\mathcal{O}_x$ -lattice, $\mathcal{A}' = \Omega^{-1}(-ax) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ and $L'_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x(-a\tilde{x}) = \mathcal{M}' \cap (L_\pi \otimes F_x)$. Here $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$ and L'_2 is viewed as a $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module, so

$$L'_2 = t^{\frac{a}{2}} \mathcal{O}_x \oplus t^{\frac{a+1}{2}} \mathcal{O}_x$$

Set $\mathcal{W} = \text{Ker}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{W}' = \text{Ker}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}')$.

The condition that $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{A}')$ lies in $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G)$ implies that $\mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle x)$, hence

$$a = \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle - (a_1 - a_2)$$

It also implies that

$$\mathcal{M}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle x) \subset \mathcal{M}' \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{W}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_2 \rangle x) \subset \mathcal{W}' \quad (14)$$

The inclusion (13) fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & L'_2 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{M}' & \rightarrow & L'^*_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}' & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & \\ 0 \rightarrow & L_2(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle x) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{M}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle x) & \rightarrow & L_2^* \otimes \mathcal{A}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle x) & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This yields an inclusion $L_2^* \subset L'^*_2(\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_0 \rangle)$, which implies $\langle \lambda, 2\check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_0 \rangle \geq a_1 + a_2$. Note that $2\check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_0 = \check{\beta}_{12} + \check{\alpha}_{12}$.

Further, the inclusion (14) shows that $(\wedge^2 L_2^*) \otimes \mathcal{A}^2(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_2 \rangle x) \subset (\wedge^2 L'^*_2) \otimes \mathcal{A}'^2$, that is,

$$\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_2 - \check{\omega}_0 \rangle \geq a_1$$

Since $\check{\omega}_2 - \check{\omega}_0 = \check{\beta}_{12}$, we get $\mu \leq i(\lambda)$.

Step 2. The above M -torsor $(L'_2, \mathcal{A}')$ is in a position ν with respect to $(L_2, \mathcal{A})$, where $\nu \in \Lambda_M^+$ is a dominant coweight for M that we are going to determine.

Clearly, $\langle \nu - \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle = 0$. Further, $(\wedge^2 L_2)(-\langle \nu, \check{\omega}_2 \rangle x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^2 L'_2$, so $a_1 = \langle \nu, \check{\omega}_0 - \check{\omega}_2 \rangle$. From $L_2(-\langle \nu, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle x) \subset L'_2$ we get

$$\langle \nu, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{2}, & a \text{ is even} \\ \frac{a+1}{2}, & a \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Now (10) identifies with the fibre of (11) over $(L'_2, \mathcal{A}') \in \text{Gr}_{M,x}^\nu(\mathcal{F}_M)$. Here the M -torsor $\mathcal{F}_M$ is given by $(L_2, \mathcal{A})$.

By Remark 2, for a even there exists a unique $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ with $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ such that $\mu = i(\lambda')$. In this case the above formulas imply $\nu = w_0^M w_0(\lambda')$.

If $\mu = i(\lambda)$ then $a = \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 - \check{\beta}_{22} \rangle$ is even, because $\check{\omega}_0 - \check{\beta}_{22}$ is divisible by 2 in $\check{\Lambda}$. For $\mu = i(\lambda)$ we get $\nu = w_0^M w_0(\lambda)$.

Let us show that $\langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle + \langle \nu, \check{\rho} - 2\check{\rho}_M \rangle \leq 0$. Indeed, since $2\check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_2 = \check{\alpha}_{12}$, we get

$$\langle \nu, \check{\alpha}_{12} \rangle = \begin{cases} a_2, & a \text{ is even} \\ a_2 + 1, & a \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and $\langle \nu, \check{\alpha}_{12} + \check{\beta}_{22} \rangle = -a_1$. We have $\check{\rho} - 2\check{\rho}_M = \check{\alpha}_{12} + \frac{3}{2}\check{\beta}_{22}$ and $\check{\rho} = 2\check{\alpha}_{12} + \frac{3}{2}\check{\beta}_{22}$. So,

$$\langle \nu, \check{\rho} - 2\check{\rho}_M \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(-3a_1 - a_2), & a \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{2}(-3a_1 - a_2 - 1), & a \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

The desired inequality follows now from (12), and it is an equality if and only if a is even, that is, $i(\lambda) - \mu$ vanishes in $\pi_1(G_{ad})$. Our assertion follows now from Proposition 2.

2) (the split case).

Step 1. Acting by $R_\pi(F_x)$, we may assume that $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ has the following standard form $\mathcal{M} = L_2 \oplus L_2^* \otimes \mathcal{A}$, where

$$L_2 = \mathcal{O}_x t^{a_2} e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_x (e_1 + e_2)$$

and $\mathcal{A} = \Omega^{-1}((a_1 - a_2)x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$. Here $\{e_i\}$ is a base of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x$ over $\mathcal{O}_x$ consisting of isotropic vectors ([5], Sect. 8.1).

Any k -point of $S_{R\pi}^0$ is given by a collection $(b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, L'_2 \subset \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{A}')$, where $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}_\pi \otimes F_x$ is a $\mathcal{O}_x$ -lattice, $\mathcal{A}' = \Omega^{-1}(-(b_1 + b_2)x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ and

$$L'_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x(-b_1\tilde{x}_1 - b_2\tilde{x}_2) = \mathcal{M}' \cap (L_\pi \otimes F_x)$$

Here $\pi^{-1}(x) = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\}$ and L'_2 is viewed as a $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module, so

$$L'_2 = \mathcal{O}_x t^{b_1} e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_x t^{b_2} e_2$$

If $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{A}')$ lies in $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G)$ then $\mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle x)$, so

$$b_1 + b_2 = \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle - a_1 + a_2 \quad (15)$$

As in the nonsplit case, the inclusion $L'_2(-\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_0 \rangle x) \subset L_2$ yields

$$b_i + \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_0 \rangle \geq a_2 \quad (16)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. This implies $\langle \lambda, 2\check{\omega}_1 - \check{\omega}_0 \rangle \geq a_1 + a_2$. As in the nonsplit case, $(\wedge^2 L'_2)(\langle \lambda, 2\check{\omega}_0 - \check{\omega}_2 \rangle x) \subset \wedge^2 L_2$ implies $\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_2 - \check{\omega}_0 \rangle \geq a_1$. We have shown that $\mu \leq i(\lambda)$.

Step 2. Let us determine $\nu \in \Lambda_M^+$ such that $(L'_2, \mathcal{A}') \in \text{Gr}_{M,x}^\nu(\mathcal{F}_M)$. Here $\mathcal{F}_M$ is given by $(L_2, \mathcal{A})$.

As in the nonsplit case, $\langle \nu - \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle = 0$ and $(\wedge^2 L_2)(-\langle \nu, \check{\omega}_2 \rangle x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^2 L'_2$. So, $a_1 = \langle \nu, \check{\omega}_0 - \check{\omega}_2 \rangle$. From $L_2(-\langle \nu, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle x) \subset L'_2$ we get

$$\langle \nu, \check{\omega}_1 \rangle = \max\{b_1, b_2\}$$

In particular, for $\mu = i(\lambda)$ we get from (15) and (16)

$$\begin{cases} b_1 + b_2 = \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 - \check{\beta}_{22} \rangle \\ b_i \geq \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha}_{12} - \check{\omega}_1 + \check{\omega}_0 \rangle \end{cases}$$

But $2(\check{\alpha}_{12} - \check{\omega}_1 + \check{\omega}_0) = \check{\omega}_0 - \check{\beta}_{22}$, so in this case $b_i = \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha}_{12} - \check{\omega}_1 + \check{\omega}_0 \rangle$ for $i = 1, 2$. It easily follows that for $\mu = i(\lambda)$ we get $\nu = w_0^M w_0(\lambda)$.

As in the nonsplit case, it remains to show that $\langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle + \langle \nu, \check{\rho} - 2\check{\rho}_M \rangle \leq 0$. We have $\langle \nu, \check{\alpha}_{12} + \check{\beta}_{22} \rangle = -a_1$ and $\langle \nu, \check{\alpha}_{12} \rangle = 2\max\{b_i\} - \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle + a_1$. So,

$$\langle \nu, \check{\rho} - 2\check{\rho}_M \rangle = -2a_1 - \max\{b_i\} + \frac{1}{2}\langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle$$

The desired inequality follows now from (12), because $\max\{b_i\} \geq \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - a_1 + \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_2)$. It is an equality if and only if $b_1 = b_2$, and this implies that $2b_i = \langle \lambda, \check{\omega}_0 \rangle - (a_1 - a_2)$ is even.

If $b_1 = b_2$ then, as in the nonsplit case, we get $\langle \nu, \check{\alpha}_{12} \rangle = a_2$, so that $\nu = w_0^M w_0(\lambda')$ for $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ such that $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ and $i(\lambda') = \mu$. $\square$

Remark 4. Write $\check{B} \subset \check{G}$ for the dual Borel subgroup in $\check{G}$. The set of double-cosets $\check{M} \backslash \check{G} / \check{B}$ is finite, that is, $\check{M} \subset \check{G}$ is a Gelfand pair. So, for any character $\nu \in \Lambda$ with $\langle \nu, \check{\alpha}_{12} \rangle = 0$ and any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ the space $\text{Hom}_{\check{M}}(U^\nu, V^\lambda)$ is at most 1-dimensional ([9], Theorem 1). This implies that for $\lambda', \lambda \in \Lambda^+$ with $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ and $\langle \lambda', \check{\alpha}_{12} \rangle = 0$ for $\mu = i(\lambda')$ the scheme (10) is irreducible.

Remark 5. Let $\mathcal{F}_G$ be a G -torsor on D_x . For a k -point $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta)$ of $\text{Gr}_{G,x}(\mathcal{F}_G)$ we have $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \beta) \in \overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G)$ if and only if

$$V_{\mathcal{F}'_G}^{\check{\omega}_i} \subset V_{\mathcal{F}_G}^{\check{\omega}_i}(\langle \lambda, -w_0(\check{\omega}_i) \rangle x)$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$, and for $i = 0$ this is an isomorphism.

2.11 Remind the map $\chi_\pi : R_\pi \rightarrow \Omega \times T_\pi$ (cf. 2.5). Write $\chi_{\pi,x} : R_\pi(F_x) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$ for the composition

$$R_\pi(F_x) \xrightarrow{\chi_\pi} \Omega(F_x) \times T_\pi(F_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega(F_x) \times \tilde{F}_x^* \xrightarrow{\text{Res} \times \tau_x} \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X},$$

where τ_x is the natural map $\tilde{F}_x^* \rightarrow \tilde{F}_x^* / \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x^* \rightarrow \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$. It is easy to see that for $\mu \in \Lambda_B^+$ there exists a $(R_\pi(F_x), \chi_{\pi,x})$ -equivariant map $\chi^\mu : S_{R_\pi}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$, and such map is unique up to an additive constant (with respect to the structure of an abelian group on $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$).

We need the following analog of ([3], 7.1.7).

Lemma 8. *Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ with $\lambda' < \lambda$. Set $\mu = i(\lambda')$. Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \tilde{\beta})$ be a k -point of $S_{R_\pi}^\mu$. Let $\chi^0 : S_{R_\pi}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$ be a $(R_\pi(F_x), \chi_{\pi,x})$ -equivariant map. Then the composition*

$$\text{Gr}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \cap S_{R_\pi}^0 \xrightarrow{\chi^0} \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \mathbb{A}^1 \quad (17)$$

maps each irreducible component of (10) of dimension $\langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$ dominantly to $\mathbb{A}^1$.

Proof We may assume that $(\mathcal{F}_G, \tilde{\beta})$ is given by the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ in its standard form as in the proof of Proposition 1, in particular, it is reduced to a M -torsor. Write $\mu = (a_1, a_2)$. Set $\nu = w_0^M w_0(\lambda')$.

Let $z \in \mathbb{G}_m$ act on L_π as a multiplication by z and trivially on Ω^{-1} . The corresponding action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathcal{M}_\pi = L_\pi \oplus L_\pi^* \otimes \Omega^{-1}$ defines a map $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_\pi$ whose image lies in the center of P_π/U_π . The corresponding action of $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathcal{O}_x) = \mathcal{O}_x^*$ on $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi,x}$ fixes $(\mathcal{F}_G, \tilde{\beta})$ and preserves the scheme (10).

The dimension estimates in Proposition 1 also show that the irreducible components of dimension $\langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$ of the schemes $\text{Gr}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \cap S_{R_\pi}^0$ and $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \cap S_{R_\pi}^0$ are the same. We are going to describe the latter scheme explicitly.

1) (the split case)

We have $\mathcal{M} = L_2 \oplus L_2^* \otimes \mathcal{A}$ with $L_2 = \mathcal{O}_x t^{a_2} e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_x (e_1 + e_2)$ and $\mathcal{A} = \Omega^{-1}((a_1 - a_2)x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$, where $\{e_i\}$ is a base of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x$ over $\mathcal{O}_x$ consisting of isotropic vectors, and $t \in \mathcal{O}_x$ is a local parameter. Let $\mathcal{F}_M$ be the M -torsor on $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x$ given by $(L_2, \mathcal{A})$.

Set $b = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - a_1 + \langle \lambda, \tilde{\omega}_0 \rangle)$. Consider the k -point of $\text{Gr}_{M,x}(\mathcal{F}_M)$ given by $(L'_2, \mathcal{A}')$ with $\mathcal{A}' = \Omega^{-1}(-2bx) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ and $L'_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x(-b\tilde{x}_1 - b\tilde{x}_2)$, where $\pi^{-1}(x) = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\}$. Under our assumptions the scheme (9) identifies with the fibre, say Y , of

$$\mathfrak{t}_P^\nu : S^\nu(\mathcal{F}_P) \cap \overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{M,x}^\nu(\mathcal{F}_M) \quad (18)$$

over $(L'_2, \mathcal{A}')$. In matrix terms, Y is the scheme of those $u \in \text{Gr}_{U,x}$ for which $gu \in \overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda$. Here

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} t^{b-a_2} & -t^{b-a_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^{a_1+b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^{a_1-a_2+b} & t^{a_1-a_2+b} \end{pmatrix}$$

Write

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & u_1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

with $u_i \in \Omega(F_x)/\Omega(\mathcal{O}_x)$. By Remark 5, Y inside of $\text{Gr}_{U,x}$ is given by the equations

$$\begin{cases} u_i \in t^{-b+\langle \lambda, w_0(\tilde{\omega}_1) \rangle} \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) \\ u_i - u_j \in t^\alpha \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) \\ u_1 u_3 - u_2^2 \in t^\delta \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{O}_x) \\ u_i \in t^\delta \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x), \end{cases}$$

where we have set for brevity $\delta = -2b + a_2 + \langle \lambda, w_0(\tilde{\omega}_2) \rangle$ and $\alpha = -b + a_2 + \langle \lambda, w_0(\tilde{\omega}_1) \rangle$.

We may assume that (17) sends (19) to $\text{Res } u_2$. Let $Y' \subset Y$ be the closed subscheme given by $u_2 = 0$. The above $\mathcal{O}_x^*$ -action on Y multiplies each u_i in (19) by the same scalar. So, it suffices to show that $\dim Y' < \langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$.

The scheme Y' is contained in the scheme of pairs

$$\{u_1, u_3 \in t^\delta \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x)/\Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) \mid u_1 u_3 \in t^\delta \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x)/\Omega(\mathcal{O}_x)\}$$

The dimension of the latter scheme is at most $-\delta$. We have $-\delta \leq \langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$, and the equality holds iff $\alpha = 0$. But if $\alpha = 0$ then Y' is a point scheme. Since $\langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$ is strictly positive, we are done.

2) (the nonsplit case)

We have $\mathcal{M} = L_2 \oplus L_2^* \otimes \mathcal{A}$ with $L_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_x \oplus \mathcal{O}_x t^{a_2+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{-1}((a_1 - a_2)x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$, where $t \in \mathcal{O}_x$ is a local parameter. Let $\mathcal{F}_M$ be the M -torsor on $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x$ given by $(L_2, \mathcal{A})$.

Set $L'_2 = t^{\frac{a}{2}}\mathcal{O}_x \oplus t^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\mathcal{O}_x$ and $\mathcal{A}' = \Omega^{-1}(-ax) \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ with $a = \langle \lambda, \tilde{\omega}_0 \rangle - a_1 + a_2$, remind that a is even. The scheme (9) identifies with the fibre, say Y , of (18) over $(L'_2, \mathcal{A}')$.

Consider the base $\{1, t^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$ in $L_\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ and the dual base in $L_\pi^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$. Then in matrix terms, Y becomes the scheme of those $u \in \text{Gr}_{U,x}$ for which $gu \in \overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda$. Here $g = t^{\frac{a}{2}} \text{diag}(1, t^{-a_2}, t^{a_1-a_2}, t^{a_1})$. For $u \in \text{Gr}_{U,x}$ written as (19), the scheme Y is given by the equations

$$\begin{cases} u_1 \in t^{-\frac{a}{2} + \langle \lambda, w_0(\tilde{\omega}_1) \rangle} \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) \\ u_2, u_3 \in t^\alpha \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) \\ u_1 u_3 - u_2^2 \in t^\delta \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{O}_x) \\ u_i \in t^\delta \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x), \end{cases}$$

where we have set $\alpha = a_2 - \frac{a}{2} + \langle \lambda, w_0(\tilde{\omega}_1) \rangle$ and $\delta = a_2 - a + \langle \lambda, w_0(\tilde{\omega}_2) \rangle$.

We may assume that (17) sends (19) to $\text{Res}(u_1 - tu_3)$. Let $Y' \subset Y$ be the closed subscheme given by $u_1 = tu_3$. Since we have an action of $\mathcal{O}_x^*$, it suffices to show that $\dim Y' < \langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$.

The scheme Y' is contained in the scheme

$$\{u_2, u_3 \in t^\delta \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) / \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) \mid tu_3^2 - u_2^2 \in t^\delta \Omega^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{O}_x)\}$$

The latter scheme is included into Y'' given by

$$Y'' = \{u_2, u_3 \in t^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) / \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x)\}, \quad \text{for } \delta \text{ even}$$

$$Y'' = \{u_2 \in t^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) / \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x), u_3 \in t^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x) / \Omega(\mathcal{O}_x)\}, \quad \text{for } \delta \text{ odd}$$

This implies $\dim Y' \leq \dim Y'' \leq -\delta$. As in the split case, $-\delta \leq \langle \lambda, \check{\rho} \rangle - \langle \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$ and the equality implies $\alpha = 0$. But for $\alpha = 0$ we get $Y' \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec } k$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1

2) Let $\mathbf{q}_\omega : {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ denote the isomorphism sending $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ to

$$(\mathcal{M}(x), \mathcal{A}(2x), \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$$

It preserves the stratification of ${}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ by ${}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ introduced in 2.4, and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{x,\mu} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{q}_\omega} & {}_{x,\mu} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi} \\ \downarrow \text{ev}^\mu & & \downarrow \text{ev}^\mu \\ \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_\omega} & \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Pic } \tilde{X}, \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_\omega$ sends $\mathcal{B}_{ex}$ to $\mathcal{B}_{ex}(2\tilde{x})$ (resp., to $\mathcal{B}_{ex}(\tilde{x}_1 + \tilde{x}_2)$) in the nonsplit (resp., split) case. Our assertion follows from the automorphic property of $A\tilde{E}$.

1) We change the notation replacing λ by $-w_0(\lambda)$. In other words, we will establish a canonical isomorphism $H(\mathcal{A}_{-w_0(\lambda)}, \mathcal{B}^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}^{i(\lambda)} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ with

$$\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} (\tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}})^{\otimes \langle \lambda, 2\tilde{\nu} \rangle}, & \text{the nonsplit case, } \pi(\tilde{x}) = x \\ (\tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_1} \otimes \tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_2})^{\otimes \langle \lambda, \tilde{\nu} \rangle}, & \text{the split case, } \pi^{-1}(x) = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\} \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\tilde{K}_\mu$ (resp., by $K_\mu, {}_D K$) the $*$ -restriction of $H(\mathcal{A}_{-w_0(\lambda)}, \mathcal{B}^0)$ to ${}_{x,\mu} \widetilde{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ (resp., to ${}_{x,\mu} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}, {}_D \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$). Here D is $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ -valued divisor on X as in 2.4.

By 1.3, we know that each perverse cohomology sheaf of ${}_D K$ is $\mathcal{L}$ -equivariant. So, ${}_D K = 0$ unless $D = \mu x$ with μ relevant. In partiucular, $\tilde{K}_\mu$ is the extension by zero under ${}_{x,\mu} \widetilde{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \hookrightarrow {}_{x,\mu} \widetilde{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$.

Since $\mathcal{B}^0$ is self-dual (up to replacing $\tilde{E}$ by $\tilde{E}^*$ and ψ by ψ^{-1}), our assertion is reduced to the following lemma. $\square$

Lemma 9. *We have $\tilde{K}_\mu = 0$ unless $\mu \leq i(\lambda)$. The complex $\tilde{K}_\mu$ lives in non positive (resp., strictly negative) perverse degrees for $\mu = i(\lambda)$ (resp., for $\mu < i(\lambda)$). We have canonically*

$$K_{i(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{ev}^{i(\lambda)})^*(\mathcal{L}_\psi \boxtimes A\tilde{E}) \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[1] \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\otimes \dim {}_{x,i(\lambda)} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}}$$

Proof Write ${}_{x,\mu} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_G^\lambda$ for the substack of ${}_x \mathcal{H}_G$ that under the projection $\mathfrak{q}_G : {}_x \mathcal{H}_G \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ identifies with

$$\text{Bun}_G^x \times_{G(\mathcal{O}_x)} \overline{\text{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$$

For the diagram

$${}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{p}_R} {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_G^{-w_0(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{q}_R} {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$$

we have

$$H(\mathcal{A}_{-w_0(\lambda)}, \cdot) = (\mathfrak{p}_R)! (\mathfrak{q}_R^*(\cdot) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{-w_0(\lambda)})$$

Let $\mu = (a_1, a_2) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+$. Pick a k -point $\eta \in {}_{x,\mu} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ given by a collection: a line bundle $\mathcal{B}_{ex}$ on $\tilde{X}$, for which we set $L_{ex} = \pi_* \mathcal{B}_{ex}$, a modification $L_2 \subset L_{ex}$ of rank 2 vector bundles on X such that the composition is surjective

$$\text{Sym}^2 L_2 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L_{ex} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E} \otimes \det L_{ex})(D_\pi)$$

and $a_2 x = \text{div}(L_{ex}/L_2)$, and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 L_2 \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0 \tag{20}$$

on X , where we have set $\mathcal{A} = (\Omega^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \det L_2)(D_\pi + a_1 x)$.

The fibre of

$$\mathfrak{p}_R : {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \times_{\text{Bun}_G} {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_G^{-w_0(\lambda)} \rightarrow {}_{x,\infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$$

over η identifies with $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}) \in \mathrm{Bun}_G$ is given by the P -torsor (20).

Fix a trivialization $\mathcal{B}_{ex} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_x$ and a splitting of (20) over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_x$. They yield isomorphisms $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} (L_2 \oplus L_2^* \otimes \mathcal{A})|_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_x}$ and $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{-1}((a_1 - a_2)x)|_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_x}$. So, the pair

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_x \subset \mathcal{M}_\pi \otimes F_x \\ \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_x \subset \Omega^{-1} \otimes F_x \end{cases}$$

becomes a point of $\mathrm{Gr}_{G,\pi,x}$ lying in $S_{R_\pi}^\mu$.

Remind that $\mathcal{B}^0$ is clean with respect to the open immersion ${}_{x,0}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi} \subset {}_{x,0}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi}$. So, only the stratum (9) contributes to K_μ . By Proposition 1, $K_\mu = 0$ unless $\mu \leq i(\lambda)$.

Assume that $\mu \leq i(\lambda)$. Stratify (9) by locally closed subschemes $\mathrm{Gr}_{G,x}^{\lambda'}(\mathcal{F}_G) \cap S_{R_\pi}^0$ with $\lambda' \leq \lambda$, where $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$. The $*$ -restriction of $\mathcal{A}_{-w_0(\lambda)}$ under

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{G,x}^{\lambda'}(\mathcal{F}_G) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{G,x}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}_G)$$

is a constant complex placed in usual degree $\leq -\dim \mathrm{Gr}_{G,x}^{\lambda'}(\mathcal{F}_G) = -\langle \lambda', 2\check{\rho} \rangle$, the inequality is strict unless $\lambda' = \lambda$. From (8) and Proposition 1, we get

$$-\dim {}_{x,0}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi} - \langle \lambda', 2\check{\rho} \rangle + 2\dim(\mathrm{Gr}_{G,x}^{\lambda'}(\mathcal{F}_G) \cap S_{R_\pi}^0) \leq -\dim {}_{x,\mu}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi}$$

So, K_μ is placed in perverse degrees ≤ 0 . If $\mu - i(\lambda)$ does not vanish in $\pi_1(G_{ad})$ then, by Proposition 1, K_μ is placed in strictly negative perverse degrees.

If $i(\lambda) - \mu$ vanishes in $\pi_1(G_{ad})$ let $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ be such that $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ and $\mu = i(\lambda')$. Then only the stratum (10) could contribute to the 0-th perverse cohomology sheaf of K_μ . For $\mu < i(\lambda)$ it does not contribute, because the restriction of $\mathfrak{q}_R^*(\mathcal{B}^0) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{-w_0(\lambda)}$ to (10) is a nonconstant local system by Lemma 8.

If $\mu = i(\lambda)$ then (10) is a point scheme by Proposition 1, and the description of $K_{i(\lambda)}$ follows from the automorphic property of $A\tilde{E}$. $\square$

2.12 For $\lambda \in \Lambda_B^+$ the perverse sheaf $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is not always the extension by zero from ${}_{x,\lambda}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi}$. For example, take $\lambda = (1, 1)$ and $\mu = (1, 0)$. An easy calculation shows that, over ${}_{x,\lambda}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi} \cup {}_{x,\mu}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi}$, $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is a usual sheaf placed in cohomological degree $-\dim {}_{x,\lambda}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi}$.

Now we can show that the category $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}({}_{x,\infty}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi})$ is not semi-simple. Remind the stack ${}_{x,1}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$ (cf. Remark 1). Let $\lambda = (1, 1)$ and $\mu = (1, 0)$. We have a sequence of open embeddings

$${}_{x,\lambda}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi} \xrightarrow{j} {}_{x,\lambda}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} {}_{x,\lambda}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi},$$

where j is obtained from the affine open embedding $\mathcal{W}\mathrm{ald}_\pi^{x,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}\mathrm{ald}_\pi^{x,\leq 1}$ by the base change

$${}_{x,\lambda}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}\mathrm{ald}_\pi^{x,\leq 1}$$

Set $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda,\mu} = \tilde{j}_! j^*(\mathcal{B}^\lambda|_{{}_{x,\lambda}\mathrm{Bun}_{R_\pi}})$. We get an exact sequence in $\mathrm{P}^\mathcal{L}({}_{x,\infty}\overline{\mathrm{Bun}}_{R_\pi})$

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\lambda,\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\lambda \rightarrow 0$$

If $P^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi})$ was semi-simple, it would split, this contradicts the fact that the $*$ -restriction of $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ to ${}_{x, \mu} \text{Bun}_{R_\pi}$ is not zero.

2.13 GEOMETRIC CASSELMAN-SHALIKA FORMULA

Remind that we write V^μ for the irreducible representation of $\check{G}$ of highest weight μ . Let E be a $\check{G}$ -local system on $\text{Spec } k$ equipped with an isomorphism

$$V_E^\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}}^{\otimes 2}, & \text{the nonsplit case, } \pi(\tilde{x}) = x \\ \tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_1} \otimes \tilde{E}_{\tilde{x}_2}, & \text{the split case, } \pi^{-1}(x) = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\} \end{cases}$$

We assign to E the ind-object K_E of $P^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \infty \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi})$ given by

$$K_E = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \\ \langle \lambda, \check{\nu} \rangle = 0}} \mathcal{B}^{i(\lambda)} \otimes (V^\lambda)_E^*,$$

where $\check{\nu} \in \check{\Lambda}$ is that of Theorem 1. For a representation V of $\check{G}$ write $\mathcal{A}_V$ for the object of $\text{Sph}(\text{Gr}_{G, x})$ corresponding to V via the Satake equivalence $\text{Rep}(\check{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sph}(\text{Gr}_{G, x})$.

One formally derives from Theorem 1 the following.

Corolary 1. *For any $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ there is an isomorphism $\alpha_V : H(\mathcal{A}_V, K_E) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_E \otimes V_E$. For $V, V' \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ the diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\mathcal{A}_{V'}, H(\mathcal{A}_V, K_E)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & H(\mathcal{A}_{V'}, K_E \otimes V_E) \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \alpha_{V'} \otimes \text{id} \\ H(\mathcal{A}_{V \otimes V'}, K_E) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V \otimes V'}} & K_E \otimes (V \otimes V')_E, \end{array}$$

where η is the isomorphism (3).

2.14 One may view $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x}$ as the ind-scheme classifying a G_π -bundle $\mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}$ on X together with a trivialization $\mathcal{F}_{G_\pi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{G_\pi}^0|_{X-x}$. This yields a map $\text{Gr}_{G_\pi, x} \rightarrow {}_{x, \infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}$.

Theorem 1 holds also in the case of a finite base field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$. In this case we have the Bessel module BM_τ introduced in 0.1, which we now view as the space of functions on $G_\pi(F_x)/G_\pi(\mathcal{O}_x)$ that change by τ under the action of $R_\pi(F_x)$. Let B^λ denote the restriction under

$$G_\pi(F_x)/G_\pi(\mathcal{O}_x) \rightarrow {}_{x, \infty} \overline{\text{Bun}}_{R_\pi}(k)$$

of the trace of Frobenius function of $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$. Then $\{B^\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}^+\}$ is a base of BM_τ . From Theorem 1 it follows that BM_τ is a free module of rank one over the Hecke algebra H_{χ_c} .

References

- [1] A. Braverman, D. Gaitsgory, Geometric Eisenstein series, *Invent. Math.* 150 (2002), no. 2, 287–384.
- [2] D. Bump, S. Friedberg, M. Furusawa, Explicit formulas for the Waldspurger and Bessel models, *Israel J. Math.* 102 (1997), 125–177
- [3] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory, K. Vilonen, Whittaker patterns in the geometry of moduli spaces of bundles on curves, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 153 (2001), no. 3, 699–748.
- [4] D. Gaitsgory, Construction of central elements in the affine Hecke algebra via nearby cycles. *Invent. Math.* 144 (2001), no. 2, 253–280
- [5] S. Lysenko, Whittaker and Bessel functors for GSp_4 , *math.AG/0310361* (to appear in *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*)
- [6] I. Mirkovic, K. Vilonen, Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings, *math.RT/0401222*
- [7] M. Novodvorskii, I. Piatetski-Shapiro, Generalized Bessel models for a symplectic group of rank 2, *Math. USSR Sbornik* 19 (1973), 243–255
- [8] F. Grosshans, Observable groups and Hilberth’s fourteenth problem, *Amer. J. Math.* 95 (1973), p. 229–253
- [9] E. B. Vinberg, B. N. Kimelfeld, Homogeneous domains on flag manifolds and spherical subgroups of semisimple Lie groups (Russian), *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 12 (1978), no. 3, 12–19. English translation: *Functional Anal. Appl.* 12 (1978), no. 3, 168–174 (1979)